

# Beths Grammar School Non-Examination Assessment (NEA) Policy Academic Year 2023/2024

#### What does this policy affect?

This policy affects the delivery of subjects of reformed GCE and GCSE qualifications which contain a component(s) of non-examination assessment.

"The regulator's definition of an examination is very narrow and in effect any type of assessment that is not:

- set by an awarding body.
- designed to be taken simultaneously by all relevant candidates at a time determined by the awarding body, and
- taken under conditions specified by the awarding body (including conditions relating to the supervision of candidates during the assessment and the duration of the assessment)
   is classified as non-examination assessment (NEA).

'NEA' therefore includes, but is not limited to, internal assessment. Externally marked and/or externally set practical examinations taken at different times across centres are classified as 'NEA'. (JCQ's Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments, Foreword)

This publication is further referred to in this policy as NEA

## Purpose of the policy

The purpose of this policy, as defined by JCQ, is to:

- cover procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments.
- define staff roles and responsibilities for non-examination assessments.
- manage risks associated with non-examination assessments.

The policy will need to cover all types of non-examination assessment.

#### What are non-examination assessments?

"Non-examination assessments measure subject-specific knowledge and skills that cannot be tested by timed written papers.

There are three assessment stages and rules which apply to each stage. These rules often vary across subjects. The stages are:

- task setting;
- task taking;
- Task marking."

Procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments identifying staff roles and responsibilities.

# **Head of centre**

- Returns declaration (managed as part of. the National Centre Number Register annual update) to confirm awareness of, and that relevant centre staff are adhering to, the latest version of NEA
- Ensures that the centre's *non-examination assessment policy* is fit for purpose and covers all types of non-examination assessment.
- Ensures the centre's internal appeals procedures clearly details the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against internal assessment decisions (centre assessed marks) and requesting a review of the centre's marking.

#### Senior leaders

- Ensure the correct conduct of non-examination assessments (including endorsements) which comply with NEA and awarding body subject-specific instructions.
- Ensure the Centre-wide calendar records assessment schedules by the start of the academic year.

## Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

- Confirms with subject heads that appropriate awarding body forms and templates for non-examination assessments (including endorsements) are used by teachers and candidates.
- Ensures appropriate procedures are in place to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers in line with awarding body criteria.
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information given to candidates by subject teachers.
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information is received and understood by candidates.
- Where not provided by the awarding body, ensures a centre-devised template is provided for candidates to keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

# Subject head/lead

- Ensures subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities within the non-examination
  assessment process and any subject specific instructions, as indicated in the JCQ' Instructions for
  conducting non-examination assessments (new GCE & GCSE specifications).
- Ensures <u>NEA</u> and relevant awarding body subject specific instructions are followed in relation to the conduct of non-examination assessments (including endorsements)
- Works with the QA lead/Lead internal verifier to ensure appropriate procedures are followed to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers.

#### Subject teacher

- Understands and complies with the general instructions as detailed in NEA
- Where these may also be provided by the awarding body, understands and complies with the awarding body's specification for conducting non-examination assessments, including any subject-specific instructions, teachers' notes or additional information on the awarding body's website.
- Marks internally assessed work to the criteria provided by the awarding body.
- Ensures the exams officer is provided with relevant entry codes for subjects (whether the entry for the internally assessed component forms part of the overall entry code for the qualification or is made as a separate unit entry code) to the internal deadline for entries

## **Exams officer**

- Signposts the annually updated JCQ NEA publication to relevant centre staff.
- Carries out tasks where these may be applicable to the role in supporting the administration/management of non-examination assessment.

#### Task setting

## Subject teacher

- Selects tasks from a choice provided by the awarding body OR designs tasks where this is permitted by criteria set out within the subject specification.
- Makes candidates aware of the criteria used to assess their work.

## **Issuing of tasks**

## Subject teacher

- Determines when set tasks are issued by the awarding body.
- Identifies date(s) when tasks should be taken by candidates.
- Accesses set tasks in sufficient time to allow planning, resourcing and teaching and ensures that materials are stored securely at all times.
- Ensures the correct task is issued to candidates.

#### Task taking

### Supervision

#### Subject teacher

- Checks the awarding body's subject-specific requirements ensuring candidates take tasks under the required conditions and supervision arrangements.
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to enable the work of a candidate to be authenticated.
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to ensure the work a candidate submits is their own.
- Is confident where work may be completed outside of the centre without direct supervision, that the work produced is the candidate's own
- Where candidates may work in groups, keeps a record of each candidate's contribution and it must be possible to attribute assessable outcomes to individual candidates.
- Ensures candidates are aware of the current JCQ documents <u>Information for candidates non-examination assessments</u> and <u>Information for candidates Social Media</u>
- Ensures candidates understand and comply with the regulations in relevant JCQ documents Information for candidate's documents.

#### Ensures candidates:

- understand that information from all sources must be referenced.
- receive guidance on setting out references.
- are aware that they must not plagiarise other material.

## **Advice and feedback**

## Subject teacher

- As relevant to the subject/component, advises candidates on relevant aspects before candidates begin working on a task.
- Will not provide candidates with model answers or writing frames specific to the task.
- When reviewing candidates' work, unless prohibited by the specification, provides oral and written advice at a general level to candidates.
- Allows candidates to revise and re-draft work after advice has been given at a general level.
- Records any assistance given beyond general advice and takes it into account in the marking or submits it to the external examiner.
- Ensures when work has been assessed, candidates are not allowed to revise it.

#### Resources

#### Subject teacher

- Refers to the awarding body's specification and/or associated documentation to determine if candidates have restricted/unrestricted access to resources including the internet and AI when planning and researching their tasks.
- Refers to the JCQ document AI Use in Assessments: Protecting the Integrity of Qualifications
  (http://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/malpractice) as well as the awarding body's specification
  and/or associated documentation published by the awarding bodies and the regulator.
  - By referencing this document, makes candidates aware of the appropriate and inappropriate use of AI, the risks of using AI, and the possible consequences of using AI inappropriately in a qualification assessment.
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are known and put in place.
- Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to keep the work to be assessed, and any preparatory work, secure between any formally supervised sessions, including work that is stored electronically.
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are understood and followed by candidates.

- Ensures candidates understand that they are not allowed to introduce augmented notes or new resources between formally supervised sessions.
- Ensures that where appropriate to include references, candidates keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

## **Word and time limits**

#### Subject teacher

 Refers to the awarding body's specification to determine where word and time limits apply/are mandatory.

# **Collaboration and group work**

#### Subject teacher

- Unless stated otherwise in the awarding body's specification, and where appropriate, allows candidates to collaborate when carrying out research and preparatory work.
- Ensures that it is possible to attribute assessable outcomes to individual candidates.
- Ensures that where an assignment requires written work to be produced, each candidate writes up their own account of the assignment.
- Assesses the work of each candidate individually.

## **Authentication procedures**

#### Subject teacher

- Where required by the awarding body's specification:
- ensures candidates sign a declaration confirming the work they submit for final assessment is their own unaided work.
- signs the teacher declaration of authentication confirming the requirements have been met.
- Keeps signed candidate declarations on file until the deadline for requesting reviews of results has
  passed or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is
  later.
- Provides signed candidate declarations where these may be requested by a JCQ Centre Inspector (Electronic signatures are acceptable)
- Where there may be doubt about the authenticity of the work of a candidate or if malpractice is suspected, follows the authentication procedures and malpractice information in <u>NEA</u> and informs a member of senior leadership team
- Understands that if, during the external moderation process, it is found that the work has not been properly authenticated, the awarding body will set the mark(s) awarded by the centre to zero.

#### **Presentation of work**

#### Subject teacher

- Obtains informed consent at the beginning of the course from parents/carers if videos or photographs/images of candidates will be included as evidence of participation or contribution.
- Instructs candidates to present work as detailed in <u>NEA</u> unless the awarding body's specification gives different subject-specific instructions
- Instructs candidates to add their candidate number, centre number and the component code of the assessment as a header/footer on each page of their work.
  - Ensures if candidates' work is to be submitted electronically, that it meets the awarding body's specified requirements.

#### **Keeping materials secure**

## Subject teacher

• When work is being undertaken by candidates under formal supervision, ensures work is securely stored between sessions (if more than one session)

- When work is submitted by candidates for final assessment, ensures work is securely stored.
- Follows secure storage instructions as defined in NEA 4.8
- Takes sensible precautions when work is taken home for marking.
- Stores internally assessed work, including the sample returned after awarding body moderation, securely until all possible post-results services have been exhausted.
- If post-results services have not been requested, returns internally assessed work to candidates (if requested by a candidate) after the deadline for requesting a review of results for the relevant series.
- If post-results services have been requested, returns internally assessed work to candidates (if requested by a candidate) once the review of results and any subsequent appeal has been completed.
- Reminds candidates of the need to keep their own work secure at all times and not share completed
  or partially completed work on-line on social media or through any other means (Reminds candidates
  of the contents of the JCQ document Information for candidates social media)
- Where work is stored electronically, liaises with the IT Manager to ensure the protection and back-up
  of candidates' work and that appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access to it between
  sessions.
- Understands that during the period from the submission of work for formal assessment until the
  deadline for requesting a review of results, copies of work may be used for other purposes, provided
  that the originals are stored securely as required.

## **IT Manager**

- Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access between sessions to candidates' work where work is stored electronically.
- Restricts access to this material and utilises appropriate security safeguards such as firewall protection and virus scanning software.
- Employs an effective back-up strategy so that an up-to-date archive of candidates' evidence is maintained.
- Considers encrypting any sensitive digital media to ensure the security of the data stored within it and refers to awarding body guidance to ensure that the method of encryption is suitable.

## <u>Task marking – externally assessed components.</u>

## Conduct of externally assessed work.

#### Subject teacher

- Liaises with the exams officer regarding the arrangements for any externally assessed components of a specification which must be conducted within a window of dates specified by the awarding body where applicable and according to JCQ instructions for conducting examinations.
- Liaises with the Visiting Examiner where this may be applicable to any externally assessed component.

# **Exams officer**

- Arranges timetabling, rooming and invigilation where and if this is applicable to any externally assessed non-examination component of a specification.
- Conducts the externally assessed component within the window specified by the awarding body where applicable, according to the JCQ *Instructions for conducting examinations*.

## **Submission of work**

#### Subject teacher

• Pays close attention to the completion of the attendance register, if applicable

- Provides the attendance register to the subject teacher.
- Ensures the awarding body's attendance register for any externally assessed component is completed correctly.

- Where candidates' work must be despatched to an awarding body's examiner, or uploaded electronically, ensures this is completed by the date specified by the awarding body.
  - Keeps a copy of the attendance register until after the deadline for review of results for the exam series.
  - Packages the work as required by the awarding body and attaches the examiner address label.
  - Ensures that the package in which the work is despatched is robust and securely fastened.
  - Despatches the work to the awarding body's instructions by the required deadline.

## <u>Task marking – internally assessed components.</u>

## **Marking and annotation**

#### Head of centre

- Makes every effort to avoid situations where a candidate is assessed by a person who has a close personal
  relationship with the candidate, for example, members of their family (which includes stepfamily, foster
  family and similar close relationships) or close friends and their immediate family (e.g., son/daughter)
- Where this cannot be avoided, ensures the possible conflict of interest is declared to the relevant awarding body and the marked work is submitted for moderation whether or not it is part of the moderation sample.

## Subject Head/Lead

 Sets timescales for teachers to inform candidates of their centre assessed marks that will allow sufficient time for a candidate to appeal an internal assessment decision/request a review of the centre's marking prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body.

# Subject teacher

- Accesses awarding body training/updates as required to ensure familiarity with the mark scheme/marking process.
- Marks candidates' work in accordance with the marking criteria provided by the awarding body.
- Annotates candidates' work as required to facilitate internal standardisation of marking and enable external moderation to check that marking is in line with the assessment criteria.
- Informs candidates of their marks which could be subject to change by the awarding body moderation process.
- Ensures candidates are informed of the timescale set by the subject lead or as indicated in the centre's *internal appeals procedure* to enable an internal appeal/request for a review of marking to be submitted by a candidate and the outcome known before final marks are submitted to the awarding body.

## Internal standardisation

## Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

- Ensures that internal standardisation of marks across assessors and teaching groups takes place as required and to sequence.
- Supports staff not familiar with the mark scheme (e.g., NQTs, supply staff etc.)
- Ensures accurate internal standardisation for example by
- obtaining reference materials at an early stage in the course
- holding a preliminary trial marking session prior to marking
- carrying out further trial marking at appropriate points during the marking period
- after most marking has been completed, holds a further meeting to make final adjustments.
- making final adjustments to marks prior to submission
- retaining work and evidence of standardisation
- Retains evidence that internal standardisation has been carried out.

### Subject teacher

- Indicates on work (or cover sheet) the date of marking.
- Marks to common standards

• Keeps candidates work secure until after the closing date for review of results for the series concerned or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later.

#### Submission of marks and work for moderation

#### Subject teacher

- Inputs and submits marks online, via the awarding body secure extranet site, keeping a record of the marks awarded, to the external deadline/Provides marks to the exams officer to the internal deadline.
- Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors.
- Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the awarding body moderator by the external deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted/Provides the moderation sample to the exams officer to the internal deadline.
- Ensures that where a candidate's work has been facilitated by a scribe or practical assistant, the relevant completed cover sheet is securely attached to the front of the work and sent to the moderator in addition to the sample.
- Ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required.
- Submits any supporting documentation required by the awarding body/Provides the exams officer
  with any supporting documentation required by the awarding body.

#### **Exams officer**

- Inputs and submits marks online, via the awarding body secure extranet site, keeping a record of the marks submitted, to the external deadline/Confirms with subject teachers that marks have been submitted to the awarding body deadline.
- Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors.
- Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the moderator by the awarding body deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted/Confirms with Subject teacher that the moderation sample has been submitted to the awarding body deadline.
- Ensures that for postal moderation.
- work is dispatched in packaging provided by the awarding body.
- moderator label(s) provided by the awarding body are affixed to the packaging.
- proof of dispatch is obtained and kept on file until the successful issue of final results.
- Through the subject teacher, ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required.
- Through the subject teacher, submits any supporting documentation required by the awarding body.

## Storage and retention of work after submission of marks

## Subject teacher

- Keeps a record of names and candidate numbers for candidates whose work was included in the moderation sample.
- Retains all marked candidates' work (including any sample returned after moderation) under secure conditions for the required retention period.
- In liaison with the IT Manager, takes steps to protect any work stored electronically from corruption and has a back-up procedure in place.
- If retention is a problem because of the nature of the work, retains some form of evidence such as photos, audio or media recordings.

# **Exams officer**

• Ensures any sample returned after moderation is logged and returned to the subject teacher for secure storage and required retention.

## **External moderation- the process**

## Subject teacher

- Ensures that awarding body or its moderator receive the correct samples of candidates' work.
- Where relevant, liaises with the awarding body/moderator where the moderator visits the centre to mark the sample of work.
- Complies with any request from the moderator for remaining work or further evidence of the centre's marking.

## **External moderation - feedback**

#### Subject head/lead

- Checks the final moderated marks when issued to the centre when the results are published.
- Checks moderator reports and ensures that any remedial action, if necessary, is undertaken before the next examination series.

#### **Exams officer**

- Accesses or signposts moderator reports to relevant staff
- Takes remedial action, if necessary, where feedback may relate to centre administration.

## Access arrangements and reasonable adjustments

## Subject teacher

 Works with the SENDCo to ensure any access arrangements for eligible candidates are applied to assessments.

## Special educational needs coordinator (SENDCo)

- Follows the regulations and guidance in the JCQ publication <u>Access Arrangements and Reasonable</u>
   <u>Adjustments</u> in relation to non-examination assessments including <u>Reasonable Adjustments for GCE</u>
   <u>A-level sciences Endorsement of practical skills</u>
- Where arrangements do not undermine the integrity of the qualification and is the candidate's normal way of working, will ensure access arrangements are in place and awarding body approval, where required, has been obtained prior to assessments taking place.
- Makes subject teachers aware of any access arrangements for eligible candidates which need to be applied to assessments.
- Works with subject teachers to ensure requirements for access arrangement candidates requiring the support of a facilitator in assessments are met.
- Ensures that staff acting as an access arrangement facilitator are fully trained in their role.

## Special consideration and loss of work

#### Subject teacher

- Understands that a candidate may be eligible for special consideration in assessments in certain situations where a candidate is absent and/or produces a reduced quantity of work.
- Liaises with the exams officer when special consideration may need to be applied for a candidate taking assessments.
- Liaises with the exams officer to report loss of work to the awarding body.

- Refers to/directs relevant staff to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process
- Where a candidate is eligible, submits an application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site to the prescribed timescale.
- Where application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site is not applicable, submits the required form to the awarding body to the prescribed timescale.
- Keeps required evidence on file to support the application.

 Refers to/directs relevant staff where applicable to <u>Form 15 – JCQ/LCW</u> and where applicable submits to the relevant awarding body

#### Malpractice

#### Head of centre

- Understands the responsibility to immediately report to the relevant awarding body any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice involving candidates or centre staff.
- Ensures any irregularity identified by the centre before the candidate has signed the authentication statement (where required) are dealt with under its own internal procedures, with no requirement to report the irregularity to the awarding body (The only exception being where the awarding body's confidential assessment materials has been breached, the breach must be report to the awarding body)
- Is familiar with the JCQ publication <u>Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments: Policies and Procedures</u>
- Ensures that those members of teaching staff involved in the direct supervision of candidates producing non-examination assessment are aware of the potential for malpractice and ensures that teaching staff are reminded that failure to report allegations of malpractice or suspected malpractice constitutes malpractice in itself.

#### Subject teacher

- Is aware of the JCQ <u>Notice to Centres Sharing NEA material and candidates' work</u> to mitigate against candidate and centre malpractice
- Ensures candidates understand what constitutes malpractice in non-examination assessments.
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document <u>Information for candidates non-examination</u> <u>assessments</u>
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document <u>Information for candidates Social Media</u>
- Escalates and reports any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice involving candidates to the head of centre.

#### **Exams officer**

- Signposts the JCQ publication <u>Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments: Policies and Procedures</u> to the head of centre
- Signposts the JCQ <u>Notice to Centres Teachers sharing assessment material and candidates' work</u> to subject heads
- Signposts candidates to the relevant JCQ information for candidates' documents
- Where required, supports the head of centre in investigating and reporting incidents of alleged, suspected or actual malpractice?

#### **Post-results results**

#### Head of centre

- Is familiar with the JCQ publication *post-Results Services*
- Ensures the centre's internal appeals procedures clearly details the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against a centre decision not to support an application for a review of results or an appeal.

#### Subject head/lead

Provides relevant support to subject teachers making decisions about reviews of results.

## Subject teacher

- Provides advice and guidance to candidates on their results and the post-results services available.
- Provides the exams officer with the original sample or relevant sample of candidates' work that may be required for a review of results to the internal deadline.

- Is aware of the individual post-results services available for externally assessed and internally assessed
  components of non-examination assessments as detailed in the JCQ publication <u>Post Results Services</u>
  (<u>Information and guidance to centres...</u>)
- Provides/signposts relevant centre staff and candidates to post-results services information.
- Ensures any requests for post-results services that are available to non-examination assessments are submitted online via the awarding body secure extranet site to deadline.

# <u>Practical Skills Endorsement for the A Level Sciences designed for use in England.</u>

#### Head of centre

- Returns an online 'Head of Centre declaration' at the time of the National Centre Number Register
  annual update confirming, that all reasonable steps have been or will be taken to ensure that all
  candidates at the centre have had, or will have, the opportunity to undertake the prescribed practical
  activities.
- Ensures new lead teachers undertake the required training provided by the awarding body on the implementation of the practical endorsement.
- Ensures relevant centre staff liaise with all relevant parties in relation to arrangements for and conduct of the monitoring visit.

# Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

• Ensures arrangements are in place for implementing the requirements of the practical endorsement appropriately and applying standards appropriately.

#### Subject head/lead

- Confirms understanding of the *Practical Skills Endorsement for the A Level Sciences designed for use in England* and ensures any relevant JCQ/awarding body instructions are followed.
- Ensures where the centre intends to enter candidates for the first time for one or more of the A level subjects, the relevant awarding body will be contacted at the beginning of the course.
- Undertakes any training provided by the awarding body on the implementation of the practical endorsement.
- Disseminates information to subject teachers ensuring the standards can be applied appropriately.
- Liaises with all relevant parties in relation to arrangements for and conduct of a monitoring visit.

#### Subject teacher

- Ensures all the JCQ/awarding body requirements/instructions in relation to the endorsement are known, understood and followed.
- Ensures the required arrangements for practical activities are in place.
- Provides all the required centre records.
- Ensures candidates provide the required records.
- Provides any required information to the subject lead regarding the monitoring visit.
- Assesses candidates using Common Practical Assessment Criteria (CPAC)
- Applies for an exemption where a candidate cannot access the practical endorsement due to a substantial impairment.
- Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of candidates *Pass* or *Not Classified* assessment outcome/provides assessment outcomes to the exams officer to the internal deadline.

- Accepts contact with the monitor and passes information to the subject lead for a visit to be arranged with at least two weeks' notice.
- Confirms with the subject teacher that assessment outcomes have been submitted to the awarding body to the external deadline/Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of candidates *Pass* or *Not Classified* assessment outcome.

### Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England.

#### Head of centre

• Returns an online 'Head of Centre declaration' at the time of the National Centre Number Register annual update, confirming that all reasonable steps have been or will be taken to ensure that all candidates at the centre have had, or will have, the opportunity to undertake the Spoken Language endorsement.

#### Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

• Ensures the appropriate arrangements are in place for internal standardisation of assessments.

## Subject head/lead

- Confirms understanding of the Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England and ensures any relevant JCQ/awarding body instructions are followed
- Ensures the required task setting and task taking instructions are followed by subject teachers.
- Ensures subject teachers assess candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria.
- Ensures for monitoring purposes, audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates are provided.

#### Subject teacher

- Ensures all the requirements in relation to the endorsement are known and understood.
- Follows the required task setting and task taking instructions.
- Assesses candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria.
- Provides audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates for monitoring purposes.
- Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades (*Pass, Merit, Distinction* or *Not Classified*) and the storage and submission of recordings.

# **Exams officer**

Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades and recordings.

Reviewed Autumn 2023 Scheduled to be reviewed Autumn 2024 Management of issues and potential risks associated with non-examination assessments.

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
	Task setting	
Centre staff malpractice	Records confirm that relevant centre staff are familiar	HOD/SLT
	with	EVANAS OFFIED
	and follow:	EXAMS OFFIER
	the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting pan examination assessments.	
	conducting non-examination assessments. the JCQ document Notice to Centres - Sharing NEA	
	material and candidates' work -	
	http://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/non-examination-	
	assessments	
Candidate malpractice	Records confirm that candidates are informed and	HOD
	understand they must not:	
	<ul> <li>submit work which is not their own.</li> </ul>	SUBJECT
	make available their work to other candidates	TEACHER
	through any medium	
	allow other candidates to have access to their	
	own independently sourced material.	
	<ul> <li>assist other candidates to produce work.</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>use books, the internet, Al or other sources</li> </ul>	
	without acknowledgement or attribution.	
	submit work that has been word processed by a	
	third party without acknowledgement.	
	<ul> <li>include inappropriate, offensive or obscene</li> </ul>	
	material.	
	Records confirm that candidates have been made aware	
	of the JCQ documents Information for candidates - non-	
	examination assessments and Information for candidates	
	– Social Media - <a href="https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-">https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-</a>	
	office/information-for-candidates-documents and	
	understand they must not post their work on social	
	media	
Awarding body set task: IT	Awarding body key date for accessing/downloading set	HOD
failure/corruption of task	task noted prior to start of course.	
details where set task	IT systems checked prior to key date.	IT TECH'S
details accessed from the	Alternative IT system used to gain access.	1100
awarding body online	Awarding body contacted to request direct email of task	HOD
Contro cot tock Subject	details	
Centre set task: Subject teacher fails to meet the	Ensures that subject teachers access awarding body training information, practice materials etc.	
assessment criteria as	Records confirmation that subjects teachers understand	HOD
detailed in the	the task setting arrangements as defined in the awarding	1100
specification	body's specification.	
Specification.	Samples assessment criteria in the centre set task	
Candidates do not	A simplified version of the awarding body's marking	
understand the marking	criteria described in the specification that is not specific	
criteria and what they	to the work of an individual candidate or group of	HOD
need to do to gain credit	candidates is produced for candidates.	
	Records confirm all candidates understand the marking	
	criteria.	
	Candidates confirm/record they understand the marking	
	criteria	
Subject teacher long term	See centre's Exam Contingency Plan (Teaching staff	HOD/SLT
absence during the task	extended absence)	
setting stage		

	Issuing of tasks		
Awarding body set task not	Awarding body key date for accessing set task as detailed		
issued to candidates on	in the specification noted prior to start of course.		
time	Course information issued to candidates contains details		
	when set task will be issued and needs to be completed	HOD	
	by		
	Set task accessed well in advance to allow time for		
	planning, resourcing and teaching		
The wrong task is given to	Ensures course planning and information taken from the		
candidates.	awarding body's specification confirms the correct task		
candidates.	will be issued to candidates.	HOD	
	Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains	1100	
	unresolved		
Subject teacher long term		HOD/SLT	
Subject teacher long term	See centre's Exam Contingency Plan (Teaching staff	HUD/3LI	
absence during the issuing	extended absence at key)		
of tasks stage			
A candidate (or	Ensures the candidate's presentation does not form part	HOD/SLT	
parent/carer) expresses	of the sample which will be recorded.		
concern about	Contacts the awarding body at the earliest opportunity		
safeguarding,	where unable to record the required number of		
confidentiality or faith in	candidates for the monitoring sample.		
undertaking a task such as			
a presentation that may be			
recorded			
	Task taking		
	Supervision		
Planned assessments clash	Assessment plan identified for the start of the course.	EXAMS OFFICER	
with other centre or	Assessment dates/periods included in centre wide		
candidate activities	calendar		
Rooms or facilities	Timetabling organised to allocate appropriate rooms and		
inadequate for candidates	IT facilities for the start of the course.		
to take tasks under	Staggered sessions arranged were IT facilities insufficient	SLT	
appropriate supervision	for number of candidates.		
	Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam		
	venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply)		
Insufficient supervision of	Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the		
candidates to enable work	current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-		
to be authenticated	examination assessments and any other specific	EXAMS OFFICER	
	instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification		
	in relation to the supervision of candidates.		
	Confirm subject teachers understand their role and		
	responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non-		
	examination assessment policy		
A candidate is suspected of	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication	HOD TO EXAMS	
malpractice prior to	Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments	OFFICER/SLT	
submitting their work for	(section 9 Malpractice) are followed.	_	
assessment	An internal investigation and where appropriate internal		
	disciplinary procedures are followed		
Access arrangements were	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A		
not put in place for an	guide to the special consideration process (section 2), to	<b>EXAMS OFFICER</b>	
assessment where a	determine the process to be followed to apply for special		
candidate is approved for	consideration for the candidate		
arrangements	_		
-	Advice and feedback		
Candidate claims	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject	HOD	
appropriate advice and	teachers to record all information provided to candidates		
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	I	

feedback not given by	before work begins as part of the centre's quality	
subject teacher prior to	assurance procedures.	HOD
starting on their work	Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records	
	and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity.	
	Full records kept detailing all information and advice	
	given to candidates prior to starting on their work as	SUBJECT
	appropriate to the subject and component.	TEACHER
	Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given	12/13/12/1
	prior to starting on their work	
Candidate claims no advice	·	
	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject	
and feedback given by	teachers to record all advice and feedback provided to	
subject teacher during the	candidates during the task-taking stage as part of the	
task-taking stage	centre's quality assurance procedures.	HOD
	Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records	
	and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity.	
	Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback given	
	to candidates during the task-taking stage as appropriate	
	to the subject and component.	SUBJECT
	Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given	TEACHER
		TEACHER
A third and additional	during the task-taking stage	
A third-party claim that	An investigation is conducted; candidates and subject	01=1=1=1===
assistance was given to	teacher are interviewed, and statements recorded where	SLT/EXAMS
candidates by the subject	relevant.	OFFICER
teacher over and above	Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all	
that allowed in the	assistance given.	
regulations and	Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is	
specification	submitted to the awarding body	
Candidate does not	Candidate is advised at a general level to reference	
reference information	information before work is submitted for formal	
from published source	assessment.	HOD/SUBJECT
from published source		-
	Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document	TEACHER
	Information for candidates: non-examination	
	assessments.	
	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research,	
	planning, resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure	
	continued completion	
Candidate does not set out	Candidate is advised at a general level to review and re-	
references as required	draft the set out of references before work is submitted	
	for formal assessment.	HOD/SUBJECT
	Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document	TEACHER
		TLACHER
	Information for candidates: non-examination	
	assessments.	
	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research,	
	planning, resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure	
	continued completion	
Candidate joins the course	A separate supervised session(s) is arranged for the	
late after formally	candidate to catch up	HOD
supervised task taking has	·	
started		
Candidate moves to	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine what can	EXAMS OFFICER
		EVAIAIS OLLICEK
another centre during the	be done depending on the stage at which the move takes	
course	place	
An excluded pupil wants to	The awarding body specification is checked to determine	
complete his/her non-	if the specification is available to a candidate outside	HOD/EXAMS
examination assessment(s)	mainstream education.	OFFICER
	If so, arrangements for supervision, authentication and	
	marking are made separately for the candidate	
		1

	Resources	
A candidate augments notes and resources between formally supervised sessions  A candidate fails to acknowledge sources on work that is submitted for assessment	Preparatory notes and the work to be assessed are collected in and kept secure between formally supervised sessions.  Where memory sticks are used by candidates, these are collected in and kept secure between formally supervised sessions.  Where work is stored on the centre's network, access for candidates is restricted between formally supervised sessions  Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc. is checked to confirm all the sources used, including books, websites and audio/visual resources.  Awarding body guidance is sought on whether the work of the candidate should be marked where candidate's detailed records acknowledge sources appropriately. Where confirmation is unavailable from candidate's	SUBJECT TEACHER SUBJECT TEACHER/HOD
	records, awarding body guidance is sought and/or a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body for the candidate  Word and time limits	
A candidate is penalised by the awarding body for exceeding word or time limits	Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if word or time limits are mandatory.  Where limits are for guidance only, candidates are discouraged from exceeding them.  Candidates confirm/record any information provided to them on word or time limits is known and understood	SUBJECT TEACHER
	Collaboration and group work	
Candidates have worked in groups where the awarding body specification states this is not permitted	Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if group work is permitted. Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved	SUBJECT TEACHER
	Authentication procedures	
A teacher has doubts about the authenticity of the work submitted by a candidate for internal assessment.  Candidate plagiarises other material.	Records confirm subject staff have been made aware of the JCQ document Teachers sharing assessment material and candidates' work.  Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments.  Candidates confirm/record that they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations for non-examination assessments as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination	SUBJECT TEACHER/HOD
Candidate does not sign their authentication statement/declaration	assessments. The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment. A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body  Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments. Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations as outlined in	SUBJECT TEACHER

	the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-	
	examination assessments.	
	Declaration is checked for signature before accepting the	
	work of a candidate for formal assessment	
Subject teacher not	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject	
available to sign	teachers to sign authentication forms at the point of	HOD
authentication forms	marking candidates work as part of the centre's quality	
	assurance procedures	
	Presentation of work	
Candidate does not fully	Cover sheet is checked to ensure it is fully completed	
complete the awarding	before accepting the work of a candidate for formal	SUBJECT
body's cover sheet that is	assessment	TEACHER
attached to their worked		
submitted for formal		
assessment		
#33E33IIIEIIL	Keeping materials secure	
Candidates work produced	Records confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow	
electronically is not	current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-	нор
•	examination assessments.	HOD
securely stored		CUDIFCT
	Internal processes and regular monitoring/internal audit	SUBJECT
	by IT Manager ensure:	TEACHER
	access to this material is restricted appropriate	
	security safeguards are in place.	
	<ul> <li>an effective back-up strategy is employed so that</li> </ul>	
	an up-to-date archive of candidates' evidence is	
	maintained.	
	any sensitive digital media is encrypted (according to	
	awarding body guidance to ensure that the method of	
	encryption is suitable) to ensure the security of the data	
	stored within it.	
Candidates work between	Records confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow	
formal supervised sessions	current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-	HOD
is not securely stored	examination assessments.	
,	Regular monitoring/Internal audit ensures subject	
	teacher use of appropriate secure storage	
Adequate secure storage	Records confirm adequate/sufficient secure storage is	HOD/EXAMS
not available to subject	available to subject teacher prior to the start of the	OFFICER
teacher	course.	J
teacher	Alternative secure storage sourced where required	
	Task marking – externally assessed components	
A candidate is absent on	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine if	
the day of the examiner	alternative assessment arrangements can be made for	SUBJECT
visit for an acceptable	the candidate.	TEACHER
·		TEACHER
reason	If not, eligibility for special consideration is explored and a	
A		1100/01/01
-	register	TEACHER
·		
reason		
A candidate submits little	Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is	
or no work		
	awarding body.	SUBJECT
	Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced	TEACHER
	where a candidate submits little work, the work produced	ILACIILIN
A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an unacceptable reason  A candidate submits little	request submitted to the awarding body where appropriate  The candidate is marked absent on the attendance register  Task marking – internally assessed components	HOD/SUBJECT TEACHER

	allocated appropriately, where the work does not most	
	allocated appropriately; where the work does not meet any of the assessment criteria a mark of zero is submitted	
	1	
A condidate in walls to	to the awarding body	CUDIFCT
A candidate is unable to	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A	SUBJECT
finish their work for	guide to the special consideration process (section 5), to	TEACHER TO
unforeseen reason	determine eligibility and the process to be followed for	NOTIFY EXAMS
	shortfall in work	OFFICER
The work of a candidate is	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication	SUBJECT
lost or damaged	Instructions for conducting non-examination	TEACHER TO
	assessments, to determine eligibility and the process to	NOTIFY EXAMS
	be followed for lost or damaged work	OFFICER
Candidate malpractice is	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication	
discovered	Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments	
	(section 9 Malpractice) are followed.	HOD TO NOTIFY
	Investigation and reporting procedures in the current JCQ	SLT
	publication Suspected Malpractice Policies and	
	Procedures are followed.	
	Appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are also	
	followed	
A teacher marks the work	A conflict of interest is declared by informing the	
of a candidate with whom	awarding body that a teacher is preparing/teaching said	EXAMS
they have a close	child at the start of the course.	OFFICER
relationship e.g., members	Marked work of said child is submitted for moderation	
of their family (which	whether part of the sample requested or not	
includes stepfamily, foster		
family and similar close		
relationships) or close		
friends and their		
immediate family (e.g.,		
son/daughter)		
An extension to the	Awarding body is contacted to determine if an extension	
deadline for submission of	can be granted.	<b>EXAMS OFFICER</b>
marks is required for a	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A	
legitimate reason	guide to the special consideration process (section 5), to	
	determine eligibility and the process to be followed for	
	non-examination assessment extension	
After submission of marks,	Awarding body is contacted for guidance.	
it is discovered that the	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A	EXAMS OFFICER
wrong task was given to	guide to the special consideration process (section 2), to	EXAMIS OFFICER
candidates	determine eligibility and the process to be followed to	
carraraces	apply for special consideration for candidates	
A candidate wishes to	Candidates are informed of the marks they have been	
appeal/request a review of	awarded for their work prior to the marks being	
the marks awarded for	submitted to the awarding body.	SUBJECT
	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	TEACHER
their work by their teacher	Records confirm candidates have been informed of their marks.	IEACHER
	Candidates are informed that these marks are subject to	
	change through the awarding body's moderation	EVANAS OFFICES
	process.	EXAMS OFFICER
	Candidates are informed of their marks to the timescale	
	identified in the centre's internal appeals procedure and	
	prior to the internal deadline set by the exams officer for	
	the submission of marks.	
	Through the school website, candidates are made aware	
	of the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale	
	for submitting an appeal/request for a review of the	

	centre's marking prior to the submission of marks to the awarding body	
Deadline for submitting work for formal assessment not met by candidate	Records confirm deadlines given and understood by candidates at the start of the course. Candidates confirm/record deadlines known and understood. Depending on the circumstances, awarding body guidance sought to determine if the work can be accepted late for marking providing the awarding body's deadline for submitting marks can be met. Decision made (depending on the circumstances) if the work will be accepted late for marking or a mark of zero submitted to the awarding body for the candidate	SUBJECT TEACHER
Deadline for submitting marks and samples of candidates work ignored by subject teacher	Internal/external deadlines are published at the start of each academic year. Reminders are issued through senior leaders/subject heads as deadlines approach. Records confirm deadlines known and understood by subject teachers. Where appropriate, internal disciplinary procedures are followed	SLT
Subject teacher long term absence during the marking period	See centre's exam contingency plan (Teaching staff extended absence)	HOD/SLT