

Beths Grammar School Non-Examination Assessment (NEA) Policy Academic Year 2022/2023

What does this policy affect?

This policy affects the delivery of subjects of reformed GCE and GCSE qualifications which contain a component(s) of non-examination assessment.

"The regulator's definition of an examination is very narrow and in effect any type of assessment that is not:

- set by an awarding body
- designed to be taken simultaneously by all relevant candidates at a time determined by the awarding body, and
- taken under conditions specified by the awarding body (including conditions relating to the supervision of candidates during the assessment and the duration of the assessment)
 is classified as non-examination assessment (NEA).

'NEA' therefore includes, but is not limited to, internal assessment. Externally marked and/or externally set practical examinations taken at different times across centres are classified as 'NEA'. (JCQ's Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments, Foreword)

This publication is further referred to in this policy as NEA

Purpose of the policy

The purpose of this policy, as defined by JCQ, is to:

- cover procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments
- define staff roles and responsibilities for non-examination assessments
- manage risks associated with non-examination assessments

The policy will need to cover all types of non-examination assessment

What are non-examination assessments?

"Non-examination assessments measure subject-specific knowledge and skills that cannot be tested by timed written papers.

There are three assessment stages and rules which apply to each stage. These rules often vary across subjects. The stages are:

- task setting;
- task taking;
- Task marking."

Procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments identifying staff roles and responsibilities

Head of centre

- Returns declaration (managed as part of. the National Centre Number Register annual update) to confirm awareness of, and that relevant centre staff are adhering to, the latest version of NEA
- Ensures that the centre's non-examination assessment policy is fit for purpose and covers all types of non-examination assessment
- Ensures the centre's internal appeals procedures clearly details the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against internal assessment decisions (centre assessed marks) and requesting a review of the centre's marking

Senior leaders

- Ensure the correct conduct of non-examination assessments (including endorsements) which comply with NEA and awarding body subject-specific instructions
- Ensure the Centre-wide calendar records assessment schedules by the start of the academic year

Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

- Confirms with subject heads that appropriate awarding body forms and templates for nonexamination assessments (including endorsements) are used by teachers and candidates
- Ensures appropriate procedures are in place to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers in line with awarding body criteria
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information given to candidates by subject teachers
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information is received and understood by candidates
- Where not provided by the awarding body, ensures a centre-devised template is provided for candidates to keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

Subject head/lead

- Decides on the awarding body and specification for a particular GCSE/GCE.
- Ensure that all non-examined assessment is completed for submission in the exam series in which the qualification is certificated, to satisfy the 100% terminal assessment requirement in accordance with the awarding body specification.
- Standardise internally the marking of all teachers involved in conducting non-examination assessments. Ensure staff involved have been on appropriate training courses for the new specifications or that HOD is satisfied that training/information has been cascaded down following their own or another member of the department's attendance at training, to ensure NEA complies with the awarding body rules and regulations.
- Ensures subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities within the non-examination assessment process and any subject specific instructions, as indicated in the JCQ'Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (new GCE & GCSE specifications).
- Ensures <u>NEA</u> and relevant awarding body subject specific instructions are followed in relation to the conduct of non-examination assessments (including endorsements)
- Works with the QA lead/Lead internal verifier to ensure appropriate procedures are followed to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers
- Undertake and oversee the safe, secure storage of all non-examination assessment materials, within their department and report any malpractice or breach of security to the Examinations Officer or their SLT line manager.
- Supply to the exams office details of all units codes for non-examination assessments and confirm the examination entry list of students to be entered on each examination session are correct.

- Understands and complies with the general instructions as detailed in NEA
- Where these may also be provided by the awarding body, understands and complies with the awarding body's specification for conducting non-examination assessments, including any subject-specific instructions, teachers' notes or additional information on the awarding body's website
- Obtain any confidential materials or task set by awarding bodies in sufficient time to prepare for assessment(s), and ensure such materials are stored securely at all times.
- Supervise assessments (at the specified level of control). Undertake the tasks required under the regulations, only permitting assistance to students as the specification allows.
- Ensure that all candidates complete the necessary task record book/ logbook of practical tasks, as
 required by the subject specification and that it is retained in school for production to an external
 monitor if required.
- Ensure that candidates are supervising teachers sign authentication forms on completion of an assessment.
- Marks internally assessed work to the criteria provided by the awarding body

- Retain candidates' work securely between assessment sessions (if more than one)
- Ask the appropriate special educational needs coordinator (SENDCO) for any assistance required for administration and management of access arrangements for non-examination assessments.

Exams officer

- Signposts the annually updated JCQ publication *Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments* to relevant centre staff
- out tasks where these may be applicable to the role in supporting the Carries administration/management of non-examination assessment
- Enter students for individual units, whether assessed or non-examined assessment, controlled assessment, external exam before the deadline for final entries, as confirmed by the Heads of Departments.
- Where confidential material is directly received by the exams office, to be responsible for receipt, safe storage and safe transmission, whether in CD or hard copy format.
 - Distribute mark sheets for teaching staff to use and collect and send mark sheets to awarding bodies before deadlines.
 - Special Educational needs coordinator SENDCO/additional learning support
- Ensure access arrangements have been applied for.
- Work with teaching staff to ensure requirements for support staff are met,
- Co-ordinate the assessment of students to establish whether examination access arrangements are required.
- Provide evidence and request for access arrangements to the Examinations officer and hold all evidence on file for JCQ inspection.

Task setting

Subject teacher

- Selects tasks from a choice provided by the awarding body OR designs tasks where this is permitted by criteria set out within the subject specification
- Makes candidates aware of the criteria used to assess their work

Issuing of tasks

Subject teacher

- Determines when set tasks are issued by the awarding body
- Identifies date(s) when tasks should be taken by candidates
- Accesses set tasks in sufficient time to allow planning, resourcing and teaching and ensures that materials are stored securely at all times
- Ensures the correct task is issued to candidates

Task taking

<u>Supervision</u>

- Checks the awarding body's subject-specific requirements ensuring candidates take tasks under the required conditions and supervision arrangements
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to enable the work of a candidate to be authenticated
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to ensure the work a candidate submits is their own
- Is confident where work may be completed outside of the centre without direct supervision, that the work produced is the candidate's own
- Where candidates may work in groups, keeps a record of each candidate's contribution and it must be
 possible to attribute assessable outcomes to individual candidates
- Ensures candidates are aware of the current JCQ documents <u>Information for candidates non-examination assessments</u> and <u>Information for candidates Social Media</u>

• Ensures candidates understand and comply with the regulations in relevant JCQ documents Information for candidates documents

Advice and feedback

Subject teacher

- As relevant to the subject/component, advises candidates on relevant aspects before candidates begin working on a task
- Will not provide candidates with model answers or writing frames specific to the task
- When reviewing candidates' work, unless prohibited by the specification, provides oral and written advice at a general level to candidates
- Allows candidates to revise and re-draft work after advice has been given at a general level
- Records any assistance given beyond general advice and takes it into account in the marking or submits it to the external examiner
- Ensures when work has been assessed, candidates are not allowed to revise it

Resources

Subject teacher

- Refers to the awarding body's specification and/or associated documentation to determine if candidates have restricted/unrestricted access to resources when planning and researching their tasks
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are known and put in place
- Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to keep the work to be assessed, and any preparatory work, secure between any formally supervised sessions, including work that is stored electronically
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are understood and followed by candidates
- Ensures candidates understand that they are not allowed to introduce augmented notes or new resources between formally supervised sessions
- Ensures that where appropriate to include references, candidates keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

Word and time limits

Subject teacher

 Refers to the awarding body's specification to determine where word and time limits apply/are mandatory

Collaboration and group work

Subject teacher

- Unless stated otherwise in the awarding body's specification, and where appropriate, allows candidates to collaborate when carrying out research and preparatory work
- Ensures that it is possible to attribute assessable outcomes to individual candidates
- Ensures that where an assignment requires written work to be produced, each candidate writes up their own account of the assignment
- Assesses the work of each candidate individually

Authentication procedures

- Where required by the awarding body's specification:
- ensures candidates sign a declaration confirming the work they submit for final assessment is their own unaided work
- signs the teacher declaration of authentication confirming the requirements have been met
- Keeps signed candidate declarations on file until the deadline for requesting reviews of results has
 passed or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later

- Provides signed candidate declarations where these may be requested by a JCQ Centre Inspector (Electronic signatures are acceptable)
- Where there may be doubt about the authenticity of the work of a candidate or if malpractice is suspected, follows the authentication procedures and malpractice information in <u>NEA</u> and informs a member of senior leadership team
- Understands that if, during the external moderation process, it is found that the work has not been properly authenticated, the awarding body will set the mark(s) awarded by the centre to zero

Presentation of work

Subject teacher

- Obtains informed consent at the beginning of the course from parents/carers if videos or photographs/images of candidates will be included as evidence of participation or contribution
- Instructs candidates to present work as detailed in <u>NEA</u> unless the awarding body's specification gives different subject-specific instructions
- Instructs candidates to add their candidate number, centre number and the component code of the assessment as a header/footer on each page of their work
- Ensures if candidates' work is to be submitted electronically, that it meets the awarding body's specified requirements

Keeping materials secure

Subject teacher

- When work is being undertaken by candidates under formal supervision, ensures work is securely stored between sessions (if more than one session)
- When work is submitted by candidates for final assessment, ensures work is securely stored
- Follows secure storage instructions as defined in <u>NEA 4.8</u>
- Takes sensible precautions when work is taken home for marking
- Stores internally assessed work, including the sample returned after awarding body moderation, securely until all possible post-results services have been exhausted
- If post-results services have not been requested, returns internally assessed work to candidates (if requested by a candidate) after the deadline for requesting a review of results for the relevant series
- If post-results services have been requested, returns internally assessed work to candidates (if requested by a candidate) once the review of results and any subsequent appeal has been completed
- Reminds candidates of the need to keep their own work secure at all times and not share completed
 or partially completed work on-line on social media or through any other means (Reminds candidates
 of the contents of the JCQ document Information for candidates social media)
- Where work is stored electronically, liaises with the IT Manager to ensure the protection and back-up
 of candidates' work and that appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access to it between
 sessions
- Understands that during the period from the submission of work for formal assessment until the
 deadline for requesting a review of results, copies of work may be used for other purposes, provided
 that the originals are stored securely as required

IT Manager

- Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access between sessions to candidates' work where work is stored electronically
- Restricts access to this material and utilises appropriate security safeguards such as firewall protection and virus scanning software
- Employs an effective back-up strategy so that an up-to-date archive of candidates' evidence is maintained
- Considers encrypting any sensitive digital media to ensure the security of the data stored within it and refers to awarding body guidance to ensure that the method of encryption is suitable

Task marking – externally assessed components

Conduct of externally assessed work

Subject teacher

- Liaises with the exams officer regarding the arrangements for any externally assessed components of
 a specification which must be conducted within a window of dates specified by the awarding body
 where applicable and according to JCQ instructions for conducting examinations
- Liaises with the Visiting Examiner where this may be applicable to any externally assessed component

Exam's officer

- Arranges timetabling, rooming and invigilation where and if this is applicable to any externally assessed non-examination component of a specification
- Conducts the externally assessed component within the window specified by the awarding body where applicable, according to the JCQ *Instructions for conducting examinations*

Submission of work

Subject teacher

• Pays close attention to the completion of the attendance register, if applicable

Exam's officer

- Provides the attendance register to the subject teacher
- Ensures the awarding body's attendance register for any externally assessed component is completed correctly
- Where candidates' work must be despatched to an awarding body's examiner, or uploaded electronically, ensures this is completed by the date specified by the awarding body
 - Keeps a copy of the attendance register until after the deadline for review of results for the exam series
 - Packages the work as required by the awarding body and attaches the examiner address label
 - Ensures that the package in which the work is despatched is robust and securely fastened
 - Despatches the work to the awarding body's instructions by the required deadline

Task marking – internally assessed components

Marking and annotation

Head of centre

- Makes every effort to avoid situations where a candidate is assessed by a person who has a close personal relationship with the candidate, for example, members of their family (which includes step-family, foster family and similar close relationships) or close friends and their immediate family (e.g. son/daughter)
- Where this cannot be avoided, ensures the possible conflict of interest is declared to the relevant awarding body and the marked work is submitted for moderation whether or not it is part of the moderation sample

Subject Head/Lead

 Sets timescales for teachers to inform candidates of their centre assessed marks that will allow sufficient time for a candidate to appeal an internal assessment decision/request a review of the centre's marking prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body

Subject teacher

 Accesses awarding body training/updates as required to ensure familiarity with the mark scheme/marking process

- Marks candidates' work in accordance with the marking criteria provided by the awarding body
- Annotates candidates' work as required to facilitate internal standardisation of marking and enable external moderation to check that marking is in line with the assessment criteria
- Informs candidates of their marks which could be subject to change by the awarding body moderation process
- Ensures candidates are informed of the timescale set by the subject lead or as indicated in the centre's
 internal appeals procedure to enable an internal appeal/request for a review of marking to be
 submitted by a candidate and the outcome known before final marks are submitted to the awarding
 body

Internal standardisation

Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

- Ensures that internal standardisation of marks across assessors and teaching groups takes place as required and to sequence
- Supports staff not familiar with the mark scheme (e.g. NQTs, supply staff etc.)
- Ensures accurate internal standardisation for example by
- obtaining reference materials at an early stage in the course
- holding a preliminary trial marking session prior to marking
- carrying out further trial marking at appropriate points during the marking period
- after most marking has been completed, holds a further meeting to make final adjustments
- making final adjustments to marks prior to submission
- retaining work and evidence of standardisation
- Retains evidence that internal standardisation has been carried out

Subject teacher

- Indicates on work (or cover sheet) the date of marking
- Marks to common standards
- Keeps candidates work secure until after the closing date for review of results for the series concerned or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later

Submission of marks and work for moderation

Subject teacher

- Inputs and submits marks online, via the awarding body secure extranet site, keeping a record of the marks awarded, to the external deadline/Provides marks to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors
- Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the awarding body moderator by the external deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted/Provides the moderation sample to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- Ensures that where a candidate's work has been facilitated by a scribe or practical assistant, the relevant completed cover sheet is securely attached to the front of the work and sent to the moderator in addition to the sample
- Ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required
- Submits any supporting documentation required by the awarding body/Provides the exams officer with any supporting documentation required by the awarding body

Exam's officer

- Inputs and submits marks online, via the awarding body secure extranet site, keeping a record of the
 marks submitted, to the external deadline/Confirms with subject teachers that marks have been
 submitted to the awarding body deadline
- Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors

- Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the moderator by the awarding body deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted/Confirms with Subject teacher that the moderation sample has been submitted to the awarding body deadline
- Ensures that for postal moderation
- work is dispatched in packaging provided by the awarding body
- moderator label(s) provided by the awarding body are affixed to the packaging
- proof of dispatch is obtained and kept on file until the successful issue of final results
- Through the subject teacher, ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates'
 work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific
 information where this may be required
- Through the subject teacher, submits any supporting documentation required by the awarding body

Storage and retention of work after submission of marks

Subject teacher

- Keeps a record of names and candidate numbers for candidates whose work was included in the moderation sample
- Retains all marked candidates' work (including any sample returned after moderation) under secure conditions for the required retention period
- In liaison with the IT Manager, takes steps to protect any work stored electronically from corruption and has a back-up procedure in place
- If retention is a problem because of the nature of the work, retains some form of evidence such as photos, audio or media recordings

Exam's officer

• Ensures any sample returned after moderation is logged and returned to the subject teacher for secure storage and required retention

External moderation- the process

Subject teacher

- Ensures that awarding body or its moderator receive the correct samples of candidates' work
- Where relevant, liaises with the awarding body/moderator where the moderator visits the centre to mark the sample of work
- Complies with any request from the moderator for remaining work or further evidence of the centre's marking

External moderation - feedback

Subject head/lead

- Checks the final moderated marks when issued to the centre when the results are published
- Checks moderator reports and ensures that any remedial action, if necessary, is undertaken before the next examination series

Exam's officer

- Accesses or signposts moderator reports to relevant staff
- Takes remedial action, if necessary, where feedback may relate to centre administration

Access arrangements and reasonable adjustments

Subject teacher

 Works with the SENDCo to ensure any access arrangements for eligible candidates are applied to assessments

Special educational needs coordinator (SENDCo)

- Follows the regulations and guidance in the JCQ publication <u>Access Arrangements and Reasonable</u>
 <u>Adjustments</u> in relation to non-examination assessments including <u>Reasonable Adjustments for GCE</u>
 <u>A-level sciences Endorsement of practical skills</u>
- Where arrangements do not undermine the integrity of the qualification and is the candidate's normal way of working, will ensure access arrangements are in place and awarding body approval, where required, has been obtained prior to assessments taking place
- Makes subject teachers aware of any access arrangements for eligible candidates which need to be applied to assessments
- Works with subject teachers to ensure requirements for access arrangement candidates requiring the support of a facilitator in assessments are met
- Ensures that staff acting as an access arrangement facilitator are fully trained in their role

Special consideration and loss of work

Subject teacher

- Understands that a candidate may be eligible for special consideration in assessments in certain situations where a candidate is absent and/or produces a reduced quantity of work
- Liaises with the exams officer when special consideration may need to be applied for a candidate taking assessments
- Liaises with the exams officer to report loss of work to the awarding body

Exam's officer

- Refers to/directs relevant staff to the JCQ publication <u>A guide to the special consideration process</u>
- Where a candidate is eligible, submits an application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site to the prescribed timescale
- Where application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site is not applicable, submits the required form to the awarding body to the prescribed timescale
- Keeps required evidence on file to support the application
- Refers to/directs relevant staff where applicable to Form 15 JCQ/LCW and where applicable submits to the relevant awarding body

Malpractice

Head of centre

- Understands the responsibility to immediately report to the relevant awarding body any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice involving candidates or centre staff
- Ensures any irregularity identified by the centre before the candidate has signed the authentication statement (where required) are dealt with under its own internal procedures, with no requirement to report the irregularity to the awarding body (The only exception being where the awarding body's confidential assessment materials has been breached, the breach must be report to the awarding body)
- Is familiar with the JCQ publication <u>Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments: Policies and Procedures</u>
- Ensures that those members of teaching staff involved in the direct supervision of candidates
 producing non-examination assessment are aware of the potential for malpractice and ensures that
 teaching staff are reminded that failure to report allegations of malpractice or suspected malpractice
 constitutes malpractice in itself

- Is aware of the JCQ <u>Notice to Centres Sharing NEA material and candidates' work</u> to mitigate against candidate and centre malpractice
- Ensures candidates understand what constitutes malpractice in non-examination assessments
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document <u>Information for candidates non-examination</u> <u>assessments</u>
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document <u>Information for candidates Social Media</u>

 Escalates and reports any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice involving candidates to the head of centre

Exam's officer

- Signposts the JCQ publication <u>Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments: Policies and Procedures</u> to the head of centre
- Signposts the JCQ <u>Notice to Centres Teachers sharing assessment material and candidates' work</u> to subject heads
- Signposts candidates to the relevant JCQ information for candidates documents
- Where required, supports the head of centre in investigating and reporting incidents of alleged, suspected or actual malpractice

Post-results results

Head of centre

- Is familiar with the JCQ publication <u>Post-Results Services</u>
- Ensures the centre's internal appeals procedures clearly details the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against a centre decision not to support a review of results or an appeal

Subject head/lead

Provides relevant support to subject teachers making decisions about reviews of results

Subject teacher

- Provides advice and guidance to candidates on their results and the post-results services available
- Provides the exams officer with the original sample or relevant sample of candidates' work that may be required for a review of results to the internal deadline

Exam's officer

- Is aware of the individual post-results services available for externally assessed and internally assessed
 components of non-examination assessments as detailed in the JCQ publication <u>Post Results Services</u>
 (<u>Information and guidance to centres...</u>)
- Provides/signposts relevant centre staff and candidates to post-results services information
- Ensures any requests for post-results services that are available to non-examination assessments are submitted online via the awarding body secure extranet site to deadline

Practical Skills Endorsement for the A Level Sciences designed for use in England

Head of centre

- Returns an online 'Head of Centre declaration' at the time of the National Centre Number Register
 annual update confirming, that all reasonable steps have been or will be taken to ensure that all
 candidates at the centre have had, or will have, the opportunity to undertake the prescribed practical
 activities
- Ensures new lead teachers undertake the required training provided by the awarding body on the implementation of the practical endorsement
- Ensures relevant centre staff liaise with all relevant parties in relation to arrangements for and conduct of the monitoring visit

Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

• Ensures arrangements are in place for implementing the requirements of the practical endorsement appropriately and applying standards appropriately

Subject head/lead

• Confirms understanding of the *Practical Skills Endorsement for the A Level Sciences designed for use in England* and ensures any relevant JCQ/awarding body instructions are followed

- Ensures where the centre intends to enter candidates for the first time for one or more of the A level subjects, the relevant awarding body will be contacted at the beginning of the course
- Undertakes any training provided by the awarding body on the implementation of the practical endorsement
- Disseminates information to subject teachers ensuring the standards can be applied appropriately
- Liaises with all relevant parties in relation to arrangements for and conduct of a monitoring visit

Subject teacher

- Ensures all the JCQ/awarding body requirements/instructions in relation to the endorsement are known, understood and followed
- Ensures the required arrangements for practical activities are in place
- Provides all the required centre records
- Ensures candidates provide the required records
- Provides any required information to the subject lead regarding the monitoring visit
- Assesses candidates using Common Practical Assessment Criteria (CPAC)
- Applies for an exemption where a candidate cannot access the practical endorsement due to a substantial impairment
- Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of candidates *Pass* or *Not Classified* assessment outcome/provides assessment outcomes to the exams officer to the internal deadline

Exam's officer

- Accepts contact with the monitor and passes information to the subject lead for a visit to be arranged with at least two weeks' notice
- Confirms with the subject teacher that assessment outcomes have been submitted to the awarding body to the external deadline/Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of candidates *Pass* or *Not Classified* assessment outcome

Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England

Head of centre

Returns an online 'Head of Centre declaration' at the time of the National Centre Number Register
annual update, confirming that all reasonable steps have been or will be taken to ensure that all
candidates at the centre have had, or will have, the opportunity to undertake the Spoken Language
endorsement

Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

Ensures the appropriate arrangements are in place for internal standardisation of assessments

Subject head/lead

- Confirms understanding of the Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England and ensures any relevant JCQ/awarding body instructions are followed
- Ensures the required task setting and task taking instructions are followed by subject teachers
- Ensures subject teachers assess candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- Ensures for monitoring purposes, audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates are provided

- Ensures all the requirements in relation to the endorsement are known and understood
- Follows the required task setting and task taking instructions
- Assesses candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- Provides audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates for monitoring purposes
- Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades (*Pass, Merit, Distinction* or *Not Classified*) and the storage and submission of recordings

Exam's officer

Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades and recordings

Reviewed Autumn 2022 Scheduled to be reviewed Autumn 2023 Management of issues and potential risks associated with non-examination assessments

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
	Task setting	
Centre staff malpractice	Records confirm that relevant centre staff are familiar	HOD/SLT
	with	EVANAS OFFIED
	and follow:	EXAMS OFFIER
	the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting pan examination assessments.	
	conducting non-examination assessments the JCQ document Notice to Centres - Sharing NEA	
	material and candidates' work -	
	http://www.jcq.orq.uk/exams-office/non-examination-	
	assessments	
Candidate malpractice	Records confirm that candidates are informed and	HOD
	understand they must not:	
	submit work which is not their own	SUBJECT
	make available their work to other candidates	TEACHER
	through any medium	
	allow other candidates to have access to their	
	own independently sourced material	
	assist other candidates to produce work	
	 use books, the internet or other sources without 	
	acknowledgement or attribution	
	submit work that has been word processed by a	
	third party without acknowledgement	
	include inappropriate, offensive or obscene	
	material	
	Records confirm that candidates have been made aware	
	of the JCQ documents Information for candidates - non-	
	examination assessments and Information for candidates	
	– Social Media - https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-	
	office/information-for-candidates-documents and	
	understand they must not post their work on social	
	media	
Awarding body set task: IT	Awarding body key date for accessing/downloading set	HOD
failure/corruption of task	task noted prior to start of course	_
details where set task	IT systems checked prior to key date	IT TECH'S
details accessed from the	Alternative IT system used to gain access	
awarding body online	Awarding body contacted to request direct email of task	HOD
Cambua aab baalu Cubiaab	details	
Centre set task: Subject teacher fails to meet the	Ensures that subject teachers access awarding body	
assessment criteria as	training information, practice materials etc. Records confirmation that subject teachers understand	HOD
detailed in the	the task setting arrangements as defined in the awarding	пор
specification	body's specification	
specification	Samples assessment criteria in the centre set task	
Candidates do not	A simplified version of the awarding body's marking	
understand the marking	criteria described in the specification that is not specific	
criteria and what they	to the work of an individual candidate or group of	HOD
need to do to gain credit	candidates is produced for candidates	
need to do to built orcuit	Records confirm all candidates understand the marking	
	criteria	
	Candidates confirm/record they understand the marking	
	criteria	
Subject teacher long term	See centre's Exam Contingency Plan (Teaching staff	HOD/SLT
absence during the task	extended absence at key points in the exam cycle)	
setting stage		

Awarding body set task not time Awarding body key date for accessing set task as detailed in the specification noted prior to start of course (Course information issued to candidates contains details when set task will be issued and needs to be completed by Set task accessed well in advance to allow time for planning, resourcing and teaching The wrong task is given to candidates The wrong task is given to candidates The wrong task is given to candidates Will be issued to condidates Will be issued to take tasks under a propriate interval of the course Will be issued to take tasks under a propriate interval of the course is submitting their work for assessment will be insufficient for mumber of condidates Will be insufficient for will be insufficient instructions for co	Issuing of tasks		
issued to candidates on time In the specification noted prior to start of course Course information issued to candidates contains details when set task will be issued and needs to be completed by Set task accessed well in advance to allow time for planning, resourcing and teaching The wrong task is given to candidates The wrong task is given to candidates Subject teacher long term absence during the issuing of tasks stage A candidate (or parent/carer) expresses concern about safeguarding, confidentiality or faith in undertaking a task such as a presentation that may be recorded Planned assessments clash with other centre or candidates for candidates for the monitoring sample Planned assessments clash with other centre or candidates to task tasks under appropriate supervision Planned assessments clash with other centre or candidates to take tasks under appropriate supervision Planned assessments clash with other centre or candidates to the authenticated Assessment plan in including organised to allocate appropriate rooms and if focilities for the start of the course Assessment plan identified for the course Assessment plan identified for the course (allocate appropriate supervision of candidates to enable work to take tasks under appropriate supervision of candidates to enable work to be authenticated A candidate to enable work to the supervision of candidates of the supervision of experiment of the supervision of candidates and the supervision of candidates of the supervision of candidates of the supervision of candidates of the supervision of candidates and the supervision of candidates of the supervision of candidates and the supervision of candidates of the supervision of candidates and the supervisi	Awarding body set task not		
time Course information issued to candidates contains details when set task will be issued and needs to be completed by Set task accessed well in advance to allow time for planning, resourcing and teaching The wrong task is given to candidates Ensures course planning and information taken from the awarding body specification confirms the correct task will be issued to candidates Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved it asks stage. HOD			
when set task will be issued and needs to be completed by Set task accessed well in advance to allow time for planning, resourcing and teaching The wrong task is given to candidates The wrong task is given to candidates Subject teacher long term absence during the issuing of tasks stage A candidate (or parent/carer) expresses concern about safeguarding, confidentiality or faith in undertaking a task such as a presentation that may be recorded Planned assessments clash with other centre or candidate activities Rooms or facilities inadequate for candidates to take tasks under appropriate supervision of candidates to take task under appropriate supervision of candidates to the be authenticated A candidate to plan the supervision of candidates to enable work to be authenticated A candidate is suspected of majpractice prior to submitting their work for assessment where a candidate candidate candidate as suspected of majpractice prior to submitting their work for assessment where a candidate candidate candidate sates and any other specific instructions for canducting non-examination assessment plans in the current LCQ publication in relotion to the supervision of responsibilities as detailed in the curre's non-examination assessment plans assessment (section 9 Molpractice) are followed A candidate candidates to enable work to the plan the supervision of candidates to enable work to the plan the supervision of candidates and the plan the supervision of candidates and the supervision of candidates and the supervision of candidates and the supervision of candidates as suspected of majpractice prior to submitting their work for assessment plan the process in the current LCQ publication in trelation to the supervision of plan to the supervision of candidates and their role and responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non-examination assessment policy A candidate is suspected of majpractice prior to submitting their work for assessment where a condidate is approved for an assessment where a condidate is appro			
by Set task accessed well in advance to allow time for planning, resourcing and teaching The wrong task is given to candidates The wrong task is given to candidates Subject teacher long term absence during the issuing of tasks stage A candidate (or parent/care) expresses concern about safeguarding, contidentiality or faith in undertaking a task such as a presentation that may be recorded Task taking Supervision Planned assessments clash with other centre or candidate activities Rooms or facilities inadequate for candidates to take tasks under appropriate supervision of candidates to enable work to be authenticated A candidate is suspected of malpractice prior to submitting their work for assessment there a candidate teachers are supervision of malpractice prior to submitting their work for assessment there a candidate candidate is approved for arrangements A davice and feedback Candidate claims Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject Advice and feedback Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject HOD /SLT HOD/SLT HOD/		l	HOD
Set task accessed well in advance to allow time for planning, resourcing and teaching The wrong task is given to candidates Subject teacher long term absence during the issuing of tasks stage A candidate (or parent/carer) expresses concern about safe guarding, confidentiality or faith in undertaking a task such as a presentation that may be recorded Task taking Supervision Flanded assessments clash with other centre or candidate activities floadidate sto task sunder appropriate supervision of candidates to enable work to be authenticated A candidate is suspected of malpractice prior to submitting their work for assessment A candidate is suspected of malpractice prior to submitting their work for arrangements A candidate classessment where a candidate claims Flant of the special consideration not where an assessment where a not put in place for an assessment wind possible to take tasks superved for arrangements Advice and feedback Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject Advice and feedback Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject HOD HOD HOD HOD HOD HOD HOD HO			1100
planning, resourcing and teaching Ensures course planning and information taken from the candidates Ensures course planning and information taken from the awarding body's specification confirms the correct task will be issued to candidates Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved See centre's Exam Contingency Plan (Teaching staff extended absence at key points in the exam cycle) of tasks stage A candidate (or aparent/carer) expresses concern about safeguarding, confidentiality or faith in undertaking a task such as a presentation that may be recorded Task taking Supervision Exams the monitoring sample Exams Office Romson facilities Inadequate for candidates for the monitoring sample Exams Office Romson facilities Inadequate for candidates activities Rooms or facilities Inadequate for candidates Timetabling organised to allocate appropriate rooms and If facilities for the start of the course Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities Insufficient supervision of candidates to enable work to be authenticated Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current ICQ publication in relation to the supervision of candidates to enable work to be authenticated Insurations assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates (Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current ICQ publication instructions for conducting non-examination assessments policy Exams OfficeR Exams			
The wrong task is given to candidates Ensures course planning and information taken from the awarding body's specification confirms the correct task will be issued to candidates Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved		_	
candidates awarding body's specification confirms the correct task will be issued to candidates Subject teacher long term absence during the issuing of tasks stage A candidate (or parent/carer) expresses concern about safeguarding, confidentiality or faith in undertaking a task such as a presentation that may be recorded Task taking Supervision Planned assessments clash with other centre or candidate activities Rooms or facilities inadequate for candidates Timetabling organised to allocate appropriate rooms and intendiates to enable work to be authenticated A candidate so enable work to be authenticated A candidate is suspected of malpractice prior to submitting their work for assessment were not put in place for an arssessment with a consideration parents and any othere a candidate is approved for arrangements A survey and the survey of the condidates of the survey of process is in place for subject Advice and feedback Candidate claims A superviside of the start of the course of th	The ureng task is given to		
will be issued to candidates Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved Subject teacher long term absence during the issuing of tasks stage A candidate (or parent/carer) expresses concern about safeguarding, confidentiality or faith in undertaking a task such as a presentation that may be recorded Task taking Supervision Planned assessments clash with other centre or candidate activities Rooms or facilities inadequate for candidates to take tasks under appropriate supervision Insufficient supervision of candidates to enable work to be authenticated A candidate is suspected of malpractice prior to submitting their work for assessment Access arrangements were not put in place for an absence were responsible in the candidate Advice and feedback Candidate claims Finures a centre-wide process is in place for subject Advice and feedback Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject Advice and feedback Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject HOD/SLT ###		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Subject teacher long term absence during the issuing of tasks stage	candidates		1100
Subject teacher long term absence during the issuing of tasks stage A candidate (or parent/carer) expresses concern about safeguarding, confidentiality or faith in undertaking a task such as a presentation that may be recorded Task taking Supervision Planned assessments clash with other centre or candidate activities inadequate for candidates for the start of the start of the course appropriate supervision Rooms or facilities inadequate for candidates where unable to record to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply) Insufficient supervision of candidates to enable work to be authenticated A candidate is suspected of malpractice prior to submitting their work for assessment where a candidate is approved for arrangements Unresolved Ensures the candidate's presentation does not form part of the sample which will be recorded Ensures the candidate's presentation does not form part of the sample which will be recorded Contacts the awarding body at the earliest opportunity where unable to record the required number of candidates for the monitoring sample Task taking Supervision Supervision Assessment plan identified for the start of the course Assessment dates/periods included in centre wide calendar I'metabling organised to allocate appropriate rooms and I'f facilities for the start of the course Staggered sessions arranged where I'f facilities insufficient for number of candidates Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply) Insufficient supervision of conflictions for conducting nonexamination assessment and any other specific instructions detailed in the owarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the centre's nonexamination assessments (section 9 Maipractice) are followed An internal investigation and where appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are followed An in			нор
Subject teacher long term absence during the issuing of tasks stage A candidate (or parent/carer) expresses concern about concern about concern about safeguarding, confidentiality or faith in undertaking a task such as a presentation that may be recorded Task taking Supervision Planned assessments clash with other centre or candidate activities Rooms or facilities inadequate for candidates appropriate supervision Insufficient supervision of candidates to enable work to be authenticated A candidate is suspected of malpractice prior to submitting their work for assessment were not put in place for an assessment with enable colors and internal investigation and where appropriate internal dissciplinary procedures a centre-wide process is in place for subject Advice and feedback Ensures to Contininency Plan (Teaching stoff extended absence at key points in the exam cycle) of task and concern about of the sample which will be recorded To facilities in defendance of the sample which will be recorded to the supervision of candidates to take tasks under appropriate supervision of candidates with the curre store and the same time (exam conditions do not apply) Insufficient supervision of candidates of the sample of candidates with the same time (exam conditions do not apply) Insufficient for number of candidates Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting nonexamination assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the centre's nonexamination assessments Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication Aguide to the special consideration process (section 2), to determine the process to be followed Access arrangements Advice and feedback Exams Officer Exams Officer HOD/SLT HOD/SL			
absence during the issuing of tasks stage A candidate (or parent/carer) expresses concern about safeguarding, confidentiality or faith in undertaking a task such as a presentation that may be recorded Task taking Supervision Planned assessments clash with other centre or candidate activities Rooms or facilities inadequate for candidates to take tasks under appropriate supervision appropriate supervision Insufficient supervision of candidates to enable work to be authenticated A candidate is suspected of all paractice prior to submitting their work for assessment A candidate is suspected of nalipractice prior to submitting their work for assessment A candidate is approved for arrangements E candidate Ensures the candidate's presentation does not form part of the sample which will be recorded Contacts the awarding body at the earliest opportunity where unable to record the required number of candidate of the monitoring sample Task taking Supervision Assessment plan identified for the start of the course Assessment dates/periods included in centre wide calendar Timetabling organised to allocate appropriate rooms and infacilities for the start of the course Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities insufficient for number of candidates Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply) Insufficient supervision of candidates to enable work to be authenticated A candidate is suspected of alipractice prior to submitting their work for assessment A candidate is suspected of alipractice prior to submitting their work for assessment A candidate is approved for an assessment where a candidate is approved for an assessm			
A candidate (or parent/carer) expresses concern about safeguarding, or condidentiality or faith in undertaking a task such as a presentation that may be recorded Task taking Supervision Planned assessments clash with other centre or candidate activities Rooms or facilities inadequate for candidates to take tasks under appropriate supervision Insufficient supervision of candidates to enable work to be authenticated A candidate is suspected of malpractice prior to submitting their work for assessment A candidate (or parenty expresses concern about proposed for arrangements Ensures the candidate's presentation does not form part of the sample which will be recorded Contacts the awarding body at the earliest opportunity where unable to record the required number of candidates for the monitoring sample Task taking Supervision Supervision Supervision Assessment plan identified for the start of the course Assessment dates/periods included in centre wide calendar Timetabling organised to allocate appropriate rooms and IT facilities for the start of the course Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities insufficient for number of candidates Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply) Insufficient supervision of candidates Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply) Insufficient supervision of the supervision of candidates Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and any other specific instructions for conducting non-examination assessments A candidate is suspected of malpractice prior to submitting their work for assessment where a facility of the course and the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments A candidate is approved for an assessment where a candidate is approved for an assessment where a candidate is approved for a facility of t	1		HOD/SLT
A candidate (or parent/carer) expresses concern about safeguarding, confidentiality or faith in undertaking a task such as a presentation that may be recorded Task taking Supervision Planned assessments clash with other centre or candidate activities Rooms or facilities inadequate for candidates for the start of the course Assessment dates superorgiate supervision Insufficient supervision of candidates to enable work to be authenticated A candidate is suspected of malpractice prior to submitting their work for assessment where a candidate is approved for arrangements Ensures the candidate's presentation does not form part of the sample which will be recorded Contacts the awarding body at the earliest opportunity where unable to record the required number of candidates of the monitoring sample Task taking Supervision Supervision Assessment plan identified for the start of the course Assessment dates/periods included in centre wide calendar Timetabling organised to allocate appropriate rooms and IT facilities for the start of the course Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities Insufficient supervision of candidates Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply) Insufficient supervision of candidates Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication Instructions detailed in the owarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non-examination assessment policy A candidate is suspected of malpractice prior to submitting their work for assessment Access arrangements were not put in place for an assessment where a candidate is approved for an assessment where a candidate is approved for arrangements Advice and feedback Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject HOD TO EXAMS OFFICER		extended absence at key points in the exam cycle)	
parent/carer) expresses concern about contacts the awarding body at the earliest opportunity where unable to record the required number of condidates for the monitoring sample Task taking Supervision Planned assessments clash with other centre or candidate activities Rooms or facilities Inadequate for candidates to take tasks under appropriate supervision Insufficient supervision of candidates to be authenticated To be authenticated A candidates to enable work to be authenticated A candidate is suspected of malpractice prior to submitting their work for assessment where a candidate is approved for arrangements Of the sample which will be recorded Contacts the awarding body at the earliest opportunity where unable to record the required number of candidates or propriate in the process is he place for subject take and feedback Confidence of the monitoring sample Task taking Supervision A seessment plan identified for the start of the course Assessment dates/periods included in centre wide calendar Timetabling organised to allocate appropriate rooms and IT facilities for the start of the course Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities Insufficient for number of candidates Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply) Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication in relation to the supervision of candidates Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the awarding body's specific instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and any other specific instructions for conducting non-examination assessments of an appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are followed A candidate is suspected of malpractice prior to submitting their work for assessment where a candidate in the appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are followed An internal investigation and where appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are followed An internal investigation and w			
Concern about safeguarding, confidentiality or faith in undertaking a task such as a presentation that may be recorded Task taking Supervision Planned assessments clash with other centre or candidate activities Rooms or facilities inadequate for candidates to take tasks under appropriate supervision Insufficient supervision of candidates to enable work to be authenticated A candidate is suspected of malpractice prior to submitting their work for assessment A ceess arrangements were not put in place for an assessment where a candidate is approved for arrangements Contacts the awarding body at the earliest opportunity where unable to record the required number of candimate or record the required number of candidates for the monitoring sample Task taking Supervision Supervision Supervision Supervision Assessment plan identified for the start of the course valence of the start of the course valence of candidate included in centre wide calendar Timetabling organised to allocate appropriate rooms and IT facilities for the start of the course Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities Insufficient supervision of candidates Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply) Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non-examination assessment processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions of conducting non-examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice) are followed An internal investigation and where appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are followed An internal investigation and where appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are followed to apply for special consideration for the candidate EXAMS OFFICER EXAMS	A candidate (or	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	HOD/SLT
safeguarding, confidentiality or faith in undertaking a task such as a presentation that may be recorded Task taking Supervision Planned assessments clash with other centre or candidate activities Rooms or facilities inadequate for candidates to take tasks under appropriate supervision Insufficient supervision of candidates to enable work to be authenticated A candidate is suspected of malpractice prior to submitting their work for assessment Access arrangements were not put in place for an assessment where a candidate is approved for arrangements Where unable to record the required number of candidates for the monitoring sample Task taking Task taking Supervision Task taking Task taking Task taking Task taking Task taking Supervision Task taking Supervision Supervision Task taking Task takin	parent/carer) expresses	of the sample which will be recorded	
confidentiality or faith in undertaking a task such as a presentation that may be recorded Task taking Supervision Planned assessments clash with other centre or candidate activities Rooms or facilities inadequate for candidates for the start of the course Assessment dates/periods included in centre wide calendar Timetabling organised to allocate appropriate rooms and IT facilities for the start of the course Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities insufficient for number of candidates whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply) Insufficient supervision of candidates to enable work to be authenticated A candidate is suspected of malpractice prior to submitting their work for assessment A candidate is suspected of malpractice prior to submitting their work for assessment A candidate is approved for arrangements A ceess arrangements were candidate is approved for arrangements A Candidate claims E EXAMS OFFICER	concern about	Contacts the awarding body at the earliest opportunity	
undertaking a task such as a presentation that may be recorded Task taking Supervision Planned assessments clash with other centre or candidate activities Rooms or facilities inadequate for candidates to take tasks under appropriate supervision Insufficient supervision of candidates to be authenticated A candidate is suspected of submitting their work for assessment A candidate is suspected of submitting their work for assessment where a candidates to apply to plant in place for an assessment where a candidates to land as a present a fact of the course Task taking Supervision Supervision Supervision A sesssment plan identified for the start of the course Assessment plan identified for the start of the course Assessment plan identified for the start of the course Assessment plan identified for the start of the course Assessment plan identified for the start of the course Assessment plan identified for the start of the course Assessment plan identified for the start of the course Assessment plan identified for the start of the course Assessment plan identified for the start of the course Assessment plan identified for the start of the course Assessment plan identified for the start of the course Assessment plan identified for the start of the course Assessment plan identified for the start of the course Assessment plan identified for the start of the course Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities Insufficient supervision In facilities for the start of the course Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities Insufficient supervision of candidates Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply) Confirm subject teachers are ware of and follow the current JCQ publication in relation to the supervision of candidates Insuractions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates Insuractions detailed in the centre's non-examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice) are follow	safeguarding,	where unable to record the required number of	
undertaking a task such as a presentation that may be recorded Task taking Supervision Planned assessments clash with other centre or candidate activities Rooms or facilities inadequate for candidates to take tasks under appropriate supervision Insufficient supervision of candidates to be authenticated A candidate is suspected of submitting their work for assessment A candidate is suspected of submitting their work for assessment where a candidates to apply to plant in place for an assessment where a candidates to land as a present a fact of the course Task taking Supervision Supervision Supervision A sesssment plan identified for the start of the course Assessment plan identified for the start of the course Assessment plan identified for the start of the course Assessment plan identified for the start of the course Assessment plan identified for the start of the course Assessment plan identified for the start of the course Assessment plan identified for the start of the course Assessment plan identified for the start of the course Assessment plan identified for the start of the course Assessment plan identified for the start of the course Assessment plan identified for the start of the course Assessment plan identified for the start of the course Assessment plan identified for the start of the course Assessment plan identified for the start of the course Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities Insufficient supervision In facilities for the start of the course Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities Insufficient supervision of candidates Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply) Confirm subject teachers are ware of and follow the current JCQ publication in relation to the supervision of candidates Insuractions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates Insuractions detailed in the centre's non-examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice) are follow		candidates for the monitoring sample	
a presentation that may be recorded Task taking Supervision Planned assessments clash with other centre or candidate activities Rooms or facilities inadequate for candidates to take tasks under appropriate supervision If facilities for the start of the course Assessment dates/periods included in centre wide calendar If facilities for the start of the course Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities insufficient for number of candidates Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply) Insufficient supervision of candidates to enable work to be authenticated Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non-examination assessment policy A candidate is suspected of malpractice prior to submitting their work for assessment Access arrangements were not put in place for an assessment where a candidate is approved for arrangements Access arrangements were not put in place for an assessment where a candidate is approved for arrangements Advice and feedback Candidate claims Exams Officer FICER/SLT	•		
Task taking Supervision Planned assessments clash with other centre or candidate activities Rooms or facilities inadequate for candidates to take tasks under appropriate supervision Insufficient supervision of candidates to enable work to be authenticated A candidate is suspected of malpractice prior to submitting their work for assessment A cacess arrangements were not put in place for an assessment where a candidate calaims Task taking Supervision Assessment and any identified for the start of the course Assessment and any other special consideration process (section 2), to determine the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for the candidate Timetabling organised to allocate appropriate rooms and IT facilities for the start of the course Assessment and allocate appropriate rooms and IT facilities for the start of the course appropriate rooms and IT facilities for the start of the course appropriate rooms and IT facilities for the start of the course assessment of an allocate appropriate rooms and IT facilities for the start of the course appropriate rooms and IT facilities for the start of the course appropriate rooms and IT facilities for the start of the course appropriate rooms and IT facilities for the start of the course appropriate rooms and IT facilities for the start of the course appropriate rooms and IT facilities for the start of the course appropriate rooms and IT facilities for the start of the course appropriate rooms and IT facilities for the start of the course appropriate rooms and IT facilities for the start of the course appropriate rooms and IT facilities for the start of the course appropriate rooms and IT facilities for the start of the course appropriate rooms and IT facilities for the start of the course appropriate rooms and IT facilities for the start of the course appropriate rooms and IT facilities for the start of the course start of the course for apply for special consideration for the candidate appropriate rooms and IT facilities for the start of	_		
Planned assessments clash with other centre or candidate activities Rooms or facilities Inadequate for candidates to take tasks under appropriate supervision Insufficient supervision of candidates to eauthenticated To be authenticated A candidate is suspected of malpractice prior to submitting their work for assessment A cacess arrangements Roems or facilities Inimetabling organised to allocate appropriate rooms and IT facilities for the start of the course Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities Insufficient for number of candidates Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply) Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non-examination assessment policy A candidate is suspected of malpractice prior to submitting their work for assessment A ceess arrangements were not put in place for an assessment where a candidate is approved for arrangements Access arrangements Advice and feedback Candidate claims Exams Officer			
Planned assessments clash with other centre or candidate activities Rooms or facilities inadequate for candidates to take tasks under appropriate supervision Planned assessment dates/periods included in centre wide calendar Timetabling organised to allocate appropriate rooms and IT facilities for the start of the course Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities insufficient for number of candidates Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply) Insufficient supervision of candidates to enable work to be authenticated examination assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non-examination assessment policy A candidate is suspected of malpractice prior to submitting their work for assessment Access arrangements were not put in place for an assessment where a candidate is approved for arrangements Betams OFFICER SLT SLT EXAMS OFFICER SLT FUND FUN		Task taking	
with other centre or candidate activities Rooms or facilities inadequate for candidates to take tasks under appropriate supervision Insufficient supervision of candidates to be authenticated Acandidate is suspected of malpractice prior to submitting their work for assessment Access arrangements Assessment dates/periods included in centre wide calendar Timetabling organised to allocate appropriate rooms and IT facilities for the start of the course Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities Insufficient for number of candidates Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply) Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non-examination assessment policy A candidate is suspected of submitting their work for assessment An internal investigation and where appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are followed Access arrangements were not put in place for an assessment where a candidate is approved for arrangements Advice and feedback Candidate claims Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject HOD		Supervision	
candidate activities Rooms or facilities inadequate for candidates to take tasks under appropriate supervision Insufficient supervision Insufficient supervision of candidates to enable work to be authenticated A candidate is suspected of malpractice prior to submitting their work for assessment A cacess arrangements A cess arrangements Candidate claims Calendar Timetabling organised to allocate appropriate rooms and IT facilities for the start of the course Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities insufficient for number of candidates Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply) Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non-examination assessment policy A candidate is suspected of malpractice prior to submitting their work for assessment Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice) are followed An internal investigation and where appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are followed Access arrangements were not put in place for an assessment where a candidate is approved for arrangements Advice and feedback Candidate claims EXAMS OFFICER EXAMS OFFICER EXAMS OFFICER	Planned assessments clash	Assessment plan identified for the start of the course	EXAMS OFFICER
Rooms or facilities inadequate for candidates to take tasks under appropriate supervision	with other centre or	Assessment dates/periods included in centre wide	
inadequate for candidates to take tasks under appropriate supervision Bright Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities insufficient for number of candidates whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply) Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting nonexamination assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the centre's nonexamination assessment policy A candidate is suspected of malpractice prior to submitting their work for assessment Access arrangements were not put in place for an accessment where a candidate is approved for arrangements Advice and feedback Candidate claims Exams Officer SLT SLT SLT SLT SLT SLT SLT SL	candidate activities	calendar	
inadequate for candidates to take tasks under appropriate supervision A candidate is suspected of malpractice prior to submitting their work for assessment A candidate is appropriate work for assessment A candidate is approved for assessment A cacess arrangements A candidate is approved for arrangements A candidate is approved for arrangements A candidate is approved for arrangements A dvice and feedback Candidate claims IT facilities for the start of the course Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities Insufficient for number of candidates A candidates written task in large exam wene at the same time (exam conditions do not apply) Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non-examination assessments OFFICER/SLT HOD TO EXAMS OFFICER/SLT HOD TO EXAMS OFFICER/SLT EXAMS OFFICER EXAMS OFFICER FEXAMS OFFICER Advice and feedback Exams of the special consideration process (section 2), to determine the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for the candidate	Rooms or facilities	Timetabling organised to allocate appropriate rooms and	
to take tasks under appropriate supervision Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities insufficient for number of candidates Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply) Insufficient supervision of candidates to enable work to be authenticated Examination assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non-examination assessment policy A candidate is suspected of malpractice prior to submitting their work for assessment Access arrangements were not put in place for an assessment where a candidate is approved for arrangements Advice and feedback Candidate claims Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject HOD EXAMS OFFICER	inadequate for candidates		
appropriate supervision insufficient for number of candidates Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply) Insufficient supervision of candidates to enable work to be authenticated EXAMS OFFICER Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non- examination assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non- examination assessment policy A candidate is suspected of malpractice prior to submitting their work for assessment An internal investigation and where appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are followed Access arrangements were not put in place for an guide to the special consideration process (section 2), to determine the process to be followed to apply for special candidate is approved for arrangements Advice and feedback Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject HOD		1 7	SLT
Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply) Insufficient supervision of candidates to enable work to be authenticated be authenticated Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non-examination assessment policy A candidate is suspected of submitting their work for assessment An internal investigation and where appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are followed Access arrangements were not put in place for an assessment where a candidate is approved for arrangements Advice and feedback Candidate claims Exams Officer			
Insufficient supervision of candidates to enable work to be authenticated			
Insufficient supervision of candidates to enable work to be authenticated be authenticated complete teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting nonexamination assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the centre's nonexamination assessment policy A candidate is suspected of malpractice prior to submitting their work for assessment Access arrangements were not put in place for an assessment where a candidate is approved for arrangements Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication in relation to the supervision of candidates Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication in relation to the supervision of candidates Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication in relation to the supervision of candidates Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication assessments (section 9 Malpractice) are followed An internal investigation and where appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are followed Access arrangements were a selevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 2), to determine the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for the candidate Candidate is approved for arrangements Advice and feedback Candidate claims Exams Officer Exams Officer Exams Officer Exams Officer Exams Officer An internal investigation and where appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are followed An internal investigation and where appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are followed An internal investigation and where appropria		_	
candidates to enable work to be authenticated current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting nonexamination assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the centre's nonexamination assessment policy A candidate is suspected of malpractice prior to submitting their work for assessment Access arrangements were not put in place for an assessment where a candidate is approved for arrangements Advice and feedback Candidate claims Current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessing and any other specific instructions of candidates and any other specific instruction of candidates EXAMS OFFICER	Insufficient supervision of		
to be authenticated examination assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non-examination assessment policy A candidate is suspected of malpractice prior to submitting their work for assessment Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice) are followed An internal investigation and where appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are followed Access arrangements were not put in place for an assessment where a candidate is approved for arrangements Condidate claims Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject HOD	·		
instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non-examination assessment policy A candidate is suspected of malpractice prior to submitting their work for assessment Access arrangements were not put in place for an assessment where a candidate is approved for arrangements Advice and feedback Candidate claims Instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification of candidates and the supervision of candidates and their vole and their role and responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non-examination assessment HOD TO EXAMS OFFICER/SLT OFFICER/SLT EXAMS OFFICER EXAMS OFFICER Advice and feedback HOD		,	EXAMS OFFICER
in relation to the supervision of candidates Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non- examination assessment policy A candidate is suspected of malpractice prior to submitting their work for assessment Access arrangements were not put in place for an assessment where a candidate is approved for arrangements Matrice and feedback Candidate claims Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice) are followed An internal investigation and where appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are followed Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 2), to determine the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for the candidate HOD HOD TO EXAMS OFFICER/SLT EXAMS OFFICER EXAMS OFFICER HOD	to be authenticated	, , , ,	LAMINIS OFFICER
Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non-examination assessment policy A candidate is suspected of malpractice prior to submitting their work for assessment Access arrangements were not put in place for an assessment where a candidate is approved for arrangements Exams of the centre's non-examination assessment policy Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice) are followed An internal investigation and where appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are followed Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 2), to determine the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for the candidate Candidate claims Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject HOD		_ , , ,	
responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non- examination assessment policy A candidate is suspected of malpractice prior to submitting their work for assessment Access arrangements were not put in place for an assessment where a candidate is approved for arrangements		<u> </u>	
A candidate is suspected of malpractice prior to submitting their work for assessment Access arrangements were not put in place for an assessment where a candidate is approved for arrangements Advice and feedback Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice) are followed An internal investigation and where appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are followed An internal investigation and where appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are followed Access arrangements were not put in place for an assessment where a consideration process (section 2), to determine the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for the candidate Advice and feedback Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject HOD			
A candidate is suspected of malpractice prior to submitting their work for assessment Access arrangements were not put in place for an assessment where a candidate is approved for arrangements Advice and feedback Candidate claims Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments OFFICER/SLT HOD TO EXAMS OFFICER/SLT HOD TO EXAMS OFFICER/SLT FIND TO EXAMS OFFICER/SLT Advice and feedback HOD TO EXAMS OFFICER/SLT FIND TO EXAMS OFFICER/SLT Advice and feedback HOD TO EXAMS OFFICER/SLT FIND TO EXAMS OFFICER/SLT Advice and feedback HOD TO EXAMS OFFICER FIND TO EXAMS OFFICER FIND TO EXAMS OFFICER Advice and feedback HOD		•	
malpractice prior to submitting their work for assessment Access arrangements were not put in place for an assessment where a candidate is approved for arrangements Malpractice) are followed An internal investigation and where appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are followed Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 2), to determine the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for the candidate Advice and feedback Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject HOD	A manualistation to the first		HOD TO THE STATE
submitting their work for assessment An internal investigation and where appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are followed Access arrangements were not put in place for an assessment where a candidate is approved for arrangements Advice and feedback Candidate claims (section 9 Malpractice) are followed An internal investigation and where appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are followed Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 2), to determine the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for the candidate Advice and feedback Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject HOD	· ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
An internal investigation and where appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are followed Access arrangements were not put in place for an assessment where a candidate is approved for arrangements Advice and feedback Candidate claims An internal investigation and where appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are followed Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 2), to determine the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for the candidate HAMS OFFICER Advice and feedback Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject HOD	1		OFFICER/SLT
Access arrangements were not put in place for an assessment where a candidate is approved for arrangements Advice and feedback Candidate claims disciplinary procedures are followed followed to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 2), to determine the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for the candidate	_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Access arrangements were not put in place for an assessment where a candidate is approved for arrangements Advice and feedback Candidate claims Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 2), to determine the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for the candidate Advice and feedback Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject HOD	assessment		
not put in place for an assessment where a candidate is approved for arrangements Advice and feedback Candidate claims Guide to the special consideration process (section 2), to determine the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for the candidate Advice and feedback Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject HOD			
assessment where a determine the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for the candidate arrangements Advice and feedback Candidate claims Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject HOD	_	,	
candidate is approved for arrangements Advice and feedback Candidate claims Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject HOD			EXAMS OFFICER
Advice and feedback Candidate claims Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject HOD			
Advice and feedback Candidate claims Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject HOD	candidate is approved for	consideration for the candidate	
Candidate claims Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject HOD	arrangements		
appropriate advice and teachers to record all information provided to candidates			HOD
, , ,	appropriate advice and	teachers to record all information provided to candidates	

feedback not given by	before work begins as part of the centre's quality	
subject teacher prior to	assurance procedures	HOD
starting on their work	Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records	
	and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity	
	Full records kept detailing all information and advice	
	given to candidates prior to starting on their work as	SUBJECT
	appropriate to the subject and component	TEACHER
	Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given	
	prior to starting on their work	
Candidate claims no advice	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject	
and feedback given by	teachers to record all advice and feedback provided to	
subject teacher during the	candidates during the task-taking stage as part of the	
task-taking stage	centre's quality assurance procedures	HOD
	Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records	
	and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity	
	Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback given	
	to candidates during the task-taking stage as appropriate	
	to the subject and component	SUBJECT
	Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given	TEACHER
	during the task-taking stage	1 L/ (CITER
A third-party claim that	An investigation is conducted; candidates and subject	
assistance was given to	teacher are interviewed, and statements recorded where	SLT/EXAMS
candidates by the subject	relevant	OFFICER
teacher over and above	1	OFFICER
	Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all	
that allowed in the	assistance given	
regulations and	Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is	
specification	submitted to the awarding body	
Candidate does not	Candidate is advised at a general level to reference	
reference information	information before work is submitted for formal	
from published source	assessment	HOD/SUBJECT
	Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document	TEACHER
	Information for candidates: non-examination	
	assessments	
	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research,	
	planning, resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure	
	continued completion	
Candidate does not set out	Candidate is advised at a general level to review and re-	
references as required	draft the set out of references before work is submitted	
	for formal assessment	HOD/SUBJECT
	Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document	TEACHER
	Information for candidates: non-examination	
	assessments	
	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research,	
	planning, resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure	
	continued completion	
Candidate joins the course	A separate supervised session(s) is arranged for the	
late after formally	candidate to catch up	HOD
supervised task taking has	, i	
started		
Candidate moves to	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine what can	EXAMS OFFICER
another centre during the	be done depending on the stage at which the move takes	
course	place	
An excluded pupil wants to	The awarding body specification is checked to determine if the specification is available to a candidate outside	HOD/EVANAS
complete his/her non-	if the specification is available to a candidate outside	HOD/EXAMS
examination assessment(s)	mainstream education	OFFICER
	If so, arrangements for supervision, authentication and	
	marking are made separately for the candidate	

	Resources	
A candidate augments notes and resources between formally supervised sessions A candidate fails to acknowledge sources on work that is submitted for assessment	Preparatory notes and the work to be assessed are collected in and kept secure between formally supervised sessions Where memory sticks are used by candidates, these are collected in and kept secure between formally supervised sessions Where work is stored on the centre's network, access for candidates is restricted between formally supervised sessions Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc. is checked to confirm all the sources used, including books, websites and audio/visual resources Awarding body guidance is sought on whether the work of the candidate should be marked where candidate's detailed records acknowledge sources appropriately Where confirmation is unavailable from candidate's	SUBJECT TEACHER SUBJECT TEACHER/HOD
	records, awarding body guidance is sought and/or a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body for the candidate	
	Word and time limits	
A candidate is penalised by the awarding body for exceeding word or time limits	Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if word or time limits are mandatory Where limits are for guidance only, candidates are discouraged from exceeding them Candidates confirm/record any information provided to	SUBJECT TEACHER
	them on word or time limits is known and understood Collaboration and group work	
Candidates have worked in groups where the awarding body specification states this is not permitted	Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if group work is permitted Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved	SUBJECT TEACHER
	Authentication procedures	
A teacher has doubts about the authenticity of the work submitted by a candidate for internal assessment Candidate plagiarises other material	Records confirm subject staff have been made aware of the JCQ document Teachers sharing assessment material and candidates' work Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidates confirm/record that they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations for non-examination assessments as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body	SUBJECT TEACHER/HOD
Candidate does not sign their authentication statement/declaration	Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations as outlined in	SUBJECT TEACHER

	the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-	
	examination assessments	
	Declaration is checked for signature before accepting the	
	work of a candidate for formal assessment	
Subject teacher not	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject	
available to sign	teachers to sign authentication forms at the point of	HOD
authentication forms	marking candidates work as part of the centre's quality	
	assurance procedures	
	Presentation of work	
Candidate does not fully	Cover sheet is checked to ensure it is fully completed	
complete the awarding	before accepting the work of a candidate for formal	SUBJECT
body's cover sheet that is	assessment	TEACHER
attached to their worked	ussessment	
submitted for formal		
assessment		
assessment	Vooning materials secure	
Condidates would produced	Keeping materials secure	
Candidates work produced	Records confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow	1100
electronically is not	current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-	HOD
securely stored	examination assessments	61.5.55
	Internal processes and regular monitoring/internal audit	SUBJECT
	by IT Manager ensures:	TEACHER
	 access to this material is restricted appropriate 	
	security safeguards are in place	
	 an effective back-up strategy is employed so that 	
	an up-to-date archive of candidates' evidence is	
	maintained	
	any sensitive digital media is encrypted (according to	
	awarding body guidance to ensure that the method of	
	encryption is suitable) to ensure the security of the data	
	stored within it.	
Candidates work between	Records confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow	
formal supervised sessions	current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-	HOD
is not securely stored	examination assessments	
is not securely stored	Regular monitoring/Internal audit ensures subject	
	teacher use of appropriate secure storage	
Adequate secure storage	Records confirm adequate/sufficient secure storage is	HOD/EXAMS
not available to subject	available to subject teacher prior to the start of the	OFFICER
teacher	1	OFFICER
teacher	Course	
	Alternative secure storage sourced where required	
A condidate is absent an	Task marking – externally assessed components	
A candidate is absent on	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine if	CLIBIES
the day of the examiner	alternative assessment arrangements can be made for	SUBJECT
visit for an acceptable	the candidate	TEACHER
reason	If not, eligibility for special consideration is explored and a	
	request submitted to the awarding body where	
	appropriate	
A candidate is absent on	The candidate is marked absent on the attendance	HOD/SUBJECT
the day of the examiner	register	TEACHER
visit for an unacceptable		
reason		
	Task marking – internally assessed components	
A candidate submits little	Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is	
or no work	recorded as absent when marks are submitted to the	
	awarding body	SUBJECT
	Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced	TEACHER
	is assessed against the assessment criteria and a mark	. 27 1911611
	is assessed against the assessment chilena and a mark	

	allocated appropriately; where the work does not meet any of the assessment criteria a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body	
A candidate is unable to finish their work for unforeseen reason	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for shortfall in work	SUBJECT TEACHER TO NOTIFY EXAMS OFFICER
The work of a candidate is lost or damaged	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments, to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for lost or damaged work	SUBJECT TEACHER TO NOTIFY EXAMS OFFICER
Candidate malpractice is discovered	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice) are followed Investigation and reporting procedures in the current JCQ publication Suspected Malpractice Policies and Procedures are followed Appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are also followed	HOD TO NOTIFY SLT
A teacher marks the work of a candidate with whom they have a close relationship e.g. members of their family (which includes step-family, foster family and similar close relationships) or close friends and their immediate family (e.g. son/daughter)	A conflict of interest is declared by informing the awarding body that a teacher is preparing/teaching said child at the start of the course Marked work of said child is submitted for moderation whether part of the sample requested or not	EXAMS OFFICER
An extension to the deadline for submission of marks is required for a legitimate reason	Awarding body is contacted to determine if an extension can be granted Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for non-examination assessment extension	EXAMS OFFICER
After submission of marks, it is discovered that the wrong task was given to candidates	Awarding body is contacted for guidance Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 2), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for candidates	EXAMS OFFICER
A candidate wishes to appeal/request a review of the marks awarded for their work by their teacher	Candidates are informed of the marks they have been awarded for their work prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body Records confirm candidates have been informed of their marks Candidates are informed that these marks are subject to change through the awarding body's moderation process Candidates are informed of their marks to the timescale identified in the centre's internal appeals procedure and prior to the internal deadline set by the exams officer for the submission of marks Through the school website, candidates are made aware of the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale	SUBJECT TEACHER EXAMS OFFICER
	for submitting an appeal/request for a review of the centre's marking prior to the submission of marks to the awarding body	

- III 6 I III		1
Deadline for submitting	Records confirm deadlines given and understood by	
work for formal	candidates at the start of the course	
assessment not met by	Candidates confirm/record deadlines known and	
candidate	understood	SUBJECT
	Depending on the circumstances, awarding body	TEACHER
	guidance sought to determine if the work can be	
	accepted late for marking providing the awarding body's	
	deadline for submitting marks can be met	
	Decision made (depending on the circumstances) if the	
	work will be accepted late for marking or a mark of zero	
	submitted to the awarding body for the candidate	
Deadline for submitting	Internal/external deadlines are published at the start of	
marks and samples of	each academic year	
candidates work ignored	Reminders are issued through senior leaders/subject	SLT
by subject teacher	heads as deadlines approach	
	Records confirm deadlines known and understood by	
	subject teachers	
	Where appropriate, internal disciplinary procedures are	
	followed	
Subject teacher long term	See centre's exam contingency plan (Teaching staff	HOD/SLT
absence during the	extended absence at key points in the exam cycle)	
marking period	, ,	